

## Committee on Criminal Law

the desires of the people of Manitoba if some way were found to carry out the suggestion to which I have referred. I hope those who are behind this measure will give some consideration to that proposal.

Motion agreed to.

→ **CRIMINAL CODE**

**CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEE**

**Mr. D. F. Brown (Essex West)** presented the first report of the special committee on Bill No. 93, respecting the criminal law, and moved that the report be concurred in.

**Mr. H. W. Herridge (Kootenay West):** Before the motion is put, Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask the Minister of Justice a question. In view of a certain item in this morning's *Gazette* concerning the possible progress in consideration of this bill, would the Minister of Justice care to comment at this time?

**Hon. Stuart S. Garson (Minister of Justice):** Mr. Speaker, the position is that some doubt has been raised as to the intention of the government with regard to the completion of the work on this bill during the present session of parliament. A great deal of work has been done on this bill, first of all by the Criminal Code revision commission; then by the law officers of the Department of Justice; by the commissioners on uniformity of legislation, criminal section; by the departments of the attorneys general of the various provinces; by the Nova Scotia bar; by the British Columbia bar and by the other place

and its banking and commerce committee, especially by a subcommittee consisting of Senator Hayden, Senator Farris and Senator Roebuck. Having regard to all of this work that has been done there is no reason, in our opinion, why a careful and thorough consideration cannot be given to this Bill 93 by the House of Commons committee and by the house itself before the end of the present session. Certainly it is the intention of the government to proceed vigorously with the consideration of the bill to that end.

Motion agreed to.

**QUESTIONS**

**PERMITS FOR USE OF STEEL**

**Mrs. Fairclough:**

1. How many applications have been received for permits for use of steel since December 1, 1950?
2. How many permits for use of steel have been issued since December 1, 1950, (a) to whom were they issued; (b) what was the date of issue; (c) for what quantity was each permit issued?

**Mr. Dickey:** Mr. Speaker, on several occasions I have discussed the question with the hon. member and she advised me yesterday that she wished to have it dropped for rewording.

**Mr. Speaker:** Dropped.

**FISHERIES, N.S.—VALUES**

**Mr. Balcom:**

What were the fisheries landings and landed value for the years 1951 and 1952 for Halifax, Lunenburg, Queens, Shelburne and Yarmouth counties, Nova Scotia?

**Mr. MacNaught:**

	1951		1952	
	Landings Cwt.	Landed value \$	Landings Cwt.	Landed value \$
Halifax County .....	934,198	4,718,506	923,970	4,716,559
Lunenburg County .....	633,905	2,403,906	674,203	2,688,728
Queens County .....	113,534	599,948	109,146	550,745
Shelburne County .....	487,662	3,750,169	553,838	3,741,243
Yarmouth County .....	235,566	2,346,676	249,573	2,723,190

**CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAYS—ROLLING STOCK**

**Mr. Catherwood:**

1. How many new passenger coaches have been added to the Canadian National Railways system, during the past five years?
2. How many in 1952?
3. How many have been scrapped during the past five year period, and how many in 1952?
4. How many oil burning locomotives have been added during the five year period from 1948 to 1952 inclusive, and how many in 1952?
5. How many steam locomotives were added during the past five years, and how many in 1952?
6. How many have been scrapped during the past five years?

[Mr. Knowles.]

7. How many refrigerator cars were added during the five year period ending December 31, 1952, and how many in 1952?

**Mr. Benidickson:**

The Canadian National Railways advise as follows:

1. 61.
2. Nil.
3. During the last five years, 94; during 1952, 24.
4. 1948 to 1952 inclusive—new diesels, 269; 1952, new diesels, 66.