

Table of Contents

	Page
PREFACE	xv
FOREWORD	xix
CHAPTER I: A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO THE PAROLE PROCESS	1
(a) A General Description of the Imprisonment and Release Process	1
Chart I — The Imprisonment and Release Process	
Chart II — Details of the Parole Process	
(b) The Federal Parole Process	2
(i) The Beginning of a Sentence	2
(ii) During the Sentence	2
(iii) The Parole Eligibility Date	3
(iv) The Parole Hearing and Decision	4
(c) The National Parole Board — Its Composition	4
(d) The Board's Powers and Responsibilities	5
(i) Parole Decision-Making	5
(ii) Parole Policy-Making	6
(iii) How the Board Divides Its Responsibilities	6
CHAPTER II: METHODOLOGY AND DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE	9
(a) Organization of Research Work	9
(i) Recording Information About File Preparation and Hearings	10
(ii) Constraints on Research	10
(b) Description of Sample	11
(i) Ontario Region	11
(ii) Quebec Region	12

	Page
Table I — Ontario Sample: Inmate Distribution by Security Level of Institution	13
Table II — Quebec Sample: Inmate Distribution by Security Level of Institution	14
Table III — Ontario Sample: Total Inmate Offences	15
Table IV — Quebec Sample: Inmate Dominant Offences	16
Table V — Ontario Sample; Length of Sentences.....	17
Table VI — Quebec Sample: Length of Sentences	18
Table VII — Ontario Sample: Parole Board Decisions .	19
Table VIII — Quebec Sample: Parole Board Decisions ..	20
Table IX — Ontario Sample: Final Decisions by Regional and National Board Members by Security Level of Inmate's Institution	21
Table X — Quebec Sample: Final Decisions by Regional and Ottawa Board Members by Security Level of Inmate's Institution	22
Table XI — Summary of Sample Information	23
CHAPTER III: PAROLE DECISIONS IN OUR SAMPLE DESCRIBED	25
(a) The Decision to Grant Parole	25
(i) Parole Granted	25
(ii) Parole with Gradual	26
(iii) Day Parole	26
Table XII — Parole Granted According to Security Level of Institution	27
(iv) Day Parole (Temporary)	28
(v) Parole for Deportation	28
(vi) Parole by Exception	28
(b) The Decision to Grant Parole in Principle	30
(i) Ordinary Parole in Principle	30
(ii) Minimum Parole in Principle	30
(iii) Parole in Principle with Gradual	31
(iv) Day Parole in Principle and Day Parole (Temporary) in Principle	31
(c) The Decision to Maintain and Continue a Previous Decision	31
Table XIII — Paroles in Principle According to Security Level of Institution	32
(d) The Decision to Deny or Defer Parole	33

	Page
(e) Miscellaneous Decisions	33
(i) Decision Reserved	33
Table XIV — Paroles Denied or Deferred According to Security Level of Institution	34
Table XV — “Miscellaneous” Decisions According to Security Level Category of Institution ...	35
(ii) Proposed Action	36
(f) Summary	37
 CHAPTER IV — PHASE I: PREPARING FOR THE PAROLE DECISION	
(Information in the Parole Service File)	39
(a) Inmate Files Generally	39
(b) The Parole Service File	40
(i) Organization of the Parole Service File	40
(ii) Contents of the Parole Service File	41
1. Penitentiary Admission Form	41
2. Diagnostic Test Report	41
3. Pre-sentence Report	41
4. Post-suspension Report	42
5. RCMP Fingerprint Section Sheet	42
6. Police Report	42
7. Comments from the Sentencing Judge	43
8. Psychological Reports	43
9. Letter Regarding Inmate’s Parole Eligibility Date	43
10. Psychiatric Report	43
11. Application for Parole	43
12. Letter of Acknowledgement	44
13. General Correspondence Concerning Parole	44
14. Penitentiary Service “Part I” Form	45
15. Penitentiary Service “Part IIA” Form	45
16. Parole Service “Part IIB” Form	46
17. Community Assessment “Part III”	46
18. Parole Service Appraisal and Recommendation “Part IV”	47
19. The Decision Sheet	47
20. The Case Face Sheet	48

	Page
(iii) Summary of Contents of Parole Service Files	48
(iv) Information in Parole Service Files — Preliminary Conclusions	48
Table XVI — Frequency of Documentation in 142 Sample Files	49
(v) Analysis of Information in the Parole Service File	50
1. Clarity	50
2. Consistency	52
3. Uniformity	53
(a) Contribution by the Sentencing Judge	53
(b) Presence of a Psychiatric Report	53
(c) Contribution by Investigating Police	54
(d) Contribution by Penitentiary and Parole Services	55
(e) Community Assessments	55
(f) Previous Experience on Parole	56
(g) Correspondence Supporting Parole	56
4. Contributions by the Inmate	56
(vi) The Crucial Role of the Parole Service Officer in Case Preparation	57
(vii) Delay in Filing Information	59
Table XVII — Ontario Sample: Delay in Filing	60
Table XVIII — Quebec Sample: Delay in Filing	61
Table XIX — Total Sample: Delay in Filing	62
1. Comments and Suggestions on Delay	59
2. Postponing Decisions because of Case Preparation	63
(c) Conclusions	64
(i) The Need for Specific Guidelines	64
(ii) Quality Control	65
(iii) Participation of the Inmate	66
(iv) Investing in Case Preparation	67
CHAPTER V — PHASE I: COMMENTS ON CONTRIBUTORS TO THE PAROLE SERVICE FILE	
69	
(a) The Influence of File Contributors on Parole Decisions	69
(i) Pre-Decision Contacts in the Sample	70

	Page
Table XX — Messages from File Contributors to Parole Board Members (Pre-Decision Contacts)	71
1. Pre-Decision Contacts by Parole Service Officers	72
(b) Influences on File Contributors	73
(i) Workload	73
Table XXI — Parole Board Decisions and Parole Service Officers' Part IV Recommendations Compared	74
(ii) Background and Experience	76
(iii) Role and Function Conflicts	77
1. Who Best Knows the Inmate?	77
2. Divided Authority for Parole and Temporary Absences	77
3. What are ALL the Criteria for Parole?	78
(a) Discords in Preparation and Decision	78
(b) Anticipating the Parole Decision	79
4. The Institutional Setting	79
(c) Conclusion: File Contributors Need Guidance and Control	80
 CHAPTER VI — PHASE II: THE PAROLE HEARING AND DECISION-MAKING AT THE REGIONAL LEVEL	 81
(a) The Parole Hearing	81
(i) General Observations	81
(ii) Preparation for the Hearing by Inmates	83
(iii) Attendance at the Parole Hearing	83
1. The Inmate	83
2. General Attendance	84
Table XXII — General Attendance at Parole Hearings ..	85
Table XXIII — Who Attended Quebec Parole Hearings ..	86
3. Attendance of Responsible Parole Service and Classification Officers	87
(iv) Participation	87
1. Board Members	87

	Page
Table XXIV — Presence at Parole Hearing of Officers Responsible for Case Preparation	88
2. Parole Service and Classification Officers	89
3. The Inmate	90
4. Other Persons	92
(v) Duration of the Hearing	93
(b) The Parole Decision	93
Table XXV — Minimum and Maximum Times for Stages in Parole Hearings	94
Table XXVI — Total Duration of Parole Hearings in Ontario Sample	95
(i) Voting Procedure	96
(ii) The Effect of Publicity	97
(iii) Informing the Inmate of the Parole Decision	98
(iv) Informing the Inmate's Relatives and Close Friends	99
(v) Recorded Reasons for Decision	100
(c) Practical Constraints on Board Members	101
(d) What is the Inmate's Role?	102
(i) The Inmate's Access to Information	104
1. General Information	105
2. Specific Information	105
3. Having Reasons for Decisions	107
4. Notice of the Parole Hearing	107
(ii) The Inmate's Access to Assistance	107
(e) Conclusions	110
CHAPTER VII — PHASE III: PAROLE DECISION- MAKING BY OTTAWA BOARD MEMBERS	113
(a) Description	113
Table XXVII — Parole Board Voting Procedure	114
(b) Analysis	115
Table XXVIII — Cases in Sample Requiring Additional Votes	116

	Page
Table XXIX — Cases Where Regional Members Requested Additional Votes or Where Ottawa Members Altered the Regional Decision	117
CHAPTER VIII — CRITERIA IN PAROLE DECISION-MAKING	121
(a) Introduction	121
(b) Identifiable Criteria	122
(c) Recent Board Efforts at Specifying Parole Criteria	123
(d) Parole Criteria Used in Cases We Observed	126
Table XXX — Ranking of Parole Criteria by Four Ottawa Members of the NPB	125
Table XXXI — Prominent Criteria in Ontario Portion of Sample	127
(i) Criteria in the Ontario Region	126
1. Release Plans	128
2. Personality Problems	128
3. Maturity	129
4. Personal Resources	129
5. Established Delinquency	129
6. Danger to Society	130
7. Institutional Performance	130
(ii) Criteria in the Quebec Region	130
1. Release Plans	131
2. Established Delinquency	131
Table XXXII — Prominent Criteria in Quebec Portion of Sample	132
3. Personality Problem	133
4. Danger to Society	133
5. Personal Resources	134
6. Maturity	134
(iii) Prominent Criteria and Decisions Compared	134
Table XXXIII — Prominent Criteria and Decisions Compared	135

	Page
Table XXXIV — Prominent Criteria and Decisions Compared (Ontario)	136
Table XXXV — Prominent Criteria and Decisions Compared (Quebec)	137
(iv) General Observations on Criteria	138
(c) Why Clear, Consistent Parole Criteria Are Needed	139
(f) Other Influences on the Parole Decision	141
(i) Voting Procedure	141
(ii) Pre-Decision Contacts	141
(iii) Case Preparation Delays	141
(iv) Role of the Parole Service and Classification Officers in the Hearing	141
(v) The Role of the Hearing	142
(vi) Previous Board Decisions	143
(vii) Conclusion	143
 CHAPTER IX — POLICY-MAKING BY THE PAROLE BOARD	 145