#### CHAPTER XV

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A contains 18 Tables of statistical material relating to the operations of the Remission Service. Not all of the Tables are referred to in the body of the Report. However, those not referred to in the Report have been included in Appendix A because they are of general interest and are self-explanatory.

#### APPENDIX A

#### TABLE I

#### NUMBER OF PERSONS ON TICKET OF LEAVE AS OF MARCH 31, EACH YEAR 1946 TO 1955 AND AS OF JANUARY 1, 1956

On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1946.	449
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1947	508
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1948	565
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1949	516
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1950.	534
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1951	534
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1952	460
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1953.	455
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1954	439
On Ticket of Leave as of March 31, 1955	548
On Ticket of Leave as of Jan. 1, 1956	869

#### TABLE II

#### NEW CASES OPENED

#### 10 YEAR PERIOD - 1946 - 1955

#### $(Calendar\ Year)$

Year																	Λ	lumber
1946.																٠		5,287
1947.			,					,	,				,	,			٠	4,458
1948.						,				,		,						4,677
1949.			٠		,				,					٠				5,205
1950.				,		,												4,593
1951.															,			3,887
1952.						,										•		4,162
1953.					,						,					,		3,804
1954.		,	,					,										4,215
1955.		,	-				٠											4,281
Тота	I.					,	,	,				,		,				44,569

#### TABLE III

#### TICKETS OF LEAVE GRANTED 1928 - 1955

	Number
Oct. 1 - Sept. 30)	
. 1928	. 645
1929	. 1,105
1930	. 778
1931	. 982
1932	. 944
1933	. 1,982
. 1934	. 1,160
1935	. 770
1936	. 716
1937	. 588
1938	. 644
1939	. 733
1940	. 663
1941	
1942	
1943	
1944	
1945	. 844
1946	. 809
1947	
1948,	
1949	. 942
1950	. 1,287
Calendar Year	
1951	
1952	
1953	
1954	
1955	. 1,343

### TABLE IV

# TICKETS OF LEAVE, UNCONDITIONAL RELEASES, RELEASES FOR DEPORTATION, PROVINCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND PENITENTIARIES 1954 - 1955

	1954	1955
TICKETS OF LEAVE	906	1,343
UNCONDITIONAL RELEASES	234	195
RELEASES FOR DEPORTATION.	26	34
TOTAL RELEASES	1,166	1,572

	1954	1955
TICKETS OF LEAVE		
Provincial Institutions	485	671
Penitentiaries	421	672
Total	906	1,343
Unconditional Releases Provincial Institutions	178	131
Penitentiaries	56	64
	234	195
Total		
Program on Department of		
RELEASE FOR DEPORTATION Provincial Institutions	13	18
Penitentiaries	13	16
- <del> </del>		
Total	<u> 26</u>	
PENITENTIARIES		
BRITISH COLUMBIA Tickets of Leave	30	81
Unconditional Releases	. 5	13
Release for Deportation.	4	4
	39	98
Total	<del></del>	
C A CYZ A ZZZITYNIA NI		
SASKATCHEWAN Tickets of Leave	36	76
Unconditional Releases	2	6
Release for Deportation	1	1
·		
Total	39	83
MANITOBA	14	30
Tickets of Leave	2	6
Unconditional Releases	1	_
Release for Deportation		
Total	17	36
KINGSTON		20
Tickets of Leave	12	39
Unconditional Releases	6	4
Release for Deportation	1	2
Total	19	45

COLLIN'S BAY	1954	1955
Tickets of Leave	33 5	77 4
Release for Deportation	2	3
Total	40	84
<del> </del>		
ST. VINCENT DE PAUL		
Tickets of Leave	112	117
Unconditional Releases	9	8
Releases for Deportation	_	3
Total	. 121	128
FEDERAL TRAINING CENTRE		
Tickets of Leave	110 11 1	144 5 —
Total	122	149
·		
DORCHESTER		
Tickets of Leave	72	102
Unconditional Releases	15	16
Releases for Deportation	2	2
Total	89	120
·		
NEWFOUNDLAND		
Tickets of Leave	2	6
Unconditional Releases	1	2
Releases for Deportation	· 1	1
Total	4	9
·		

TABLE V

#### METHOD OF RELEASE FROM PENITENTIARIES BY INSTITUTIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEARS 1950-51 to 1954-55

#### 1950-51

Institution	METHOD OF RELEASE							
	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other (b)	Total			
Newfoundland Pen'y Dorchester Pen'y St. Vincent de Paul Pen'y Federal Training Centre Kingston Pen'y (male) Kongston Pen'y (female) Collin's Bay Pen'y Manitoba Pen'y Saskatchewan Pen'y British Columbia Pen'y	213 318 238 28 127 114 169	1 74 131 12 6 47 17 39	14 14 14 4 5 6 6	$\frac{1}{\frac{4}{11}}$ $\frac{4}{\frac{4}{11}}$ $\frac{1}{11}$	21 301 467 265 34 183 137 215 296			
TOTAL	1,387	458	52	22	1,919			

#### 1951-52

	METHOD OF RELEASE							
Institution	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other (b)	TOTAL			
Newfoundland Pen'y. Oorchester Pen'y. St. Vincent de Paul Pen'y. Sederal Training Centre. Kingston Pen'y (male). Kingston Pen'y (female). Collin's Bay Pen'y. Manitoba Pen'y. Saskatchewan Pen'y. British Columbia Pen'y.	237 422 274 25 125 127 176	2 78 117 8 7 35 11 29 86		2 8 	11 323 551 296 34 167 143 213 252			
TOTAL	1,554	373	25	38	1,990			

#### 1952-53

<u> </u>	METHOD OF RELEASE							
Institution	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other (b)	TOTAL			
Newfoundland Pen'y Dorchester Pen'y St. Vincent de Paul Pen'y Federal Training Centre Kingston Pen'y (male) Kingston Pen'y (female) Collin's Bay Pen'y Manitoba Pen'y British Columbia Pen'y British Columbia Pen'y	216 327 23 235 52 132 135	76 85 65 5 15 29 23 46 40	3 9 3 1 2 2 2 2 3	- 6 11 3 3 2 1	12 295 427 91 252 69 166, 163 222 202			
TOTAL	1,463	384	26	26	1,899			

	METHOD OF RELEASE							
Institution	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other (b)	TOTAL			
Newfoundland Pen'y. Dorchester Pen'y. St. Vincent de Paul Pen'y Federal Training Centre Kingston Pen'y (male) Kingston Pen'y (female) Collin's Bay Pen'y Manitoba Pen'y. Saskatchewan Pen'y British Columbia Pen'y.	229 449 63 283 44 145 163	2 42 80 94 19 10 44 21 32 45	1 11 4 11 4 11 4 1 1	2 1 7 12 1 1 4 4	12 283 540 168 318 55 191 189 264 242			
TOTAL	1,801	389	38	34	2,262			

#### 1954-55

Institution	METHOD OF RELEASE							
	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other (b)	TOTAL			
Newfoundland Pen'y Dorchester Pen'y St. Vincent de Paul Pen'y Federal Training Centre Kingston Pen'y (male) Kingston Pen'y (female) Collin's Bay Pen'y Manitoba Pen'y Saskatchewan Pen'y British Columbia Pen'y	197 293 66 245 33 112	80 110 117 13 3 40 15 46 29	19 7 8 6 1 8 6 2	4 1 9 1 3 2 5	7 296 414 192 273 38 163 160 229 229			
TOTAL	1,454	453	67	27	2,001			

- (a) Includes releases for deportation and other conditional or unconditional release exclusive of Ticket of Leave.
   (b) Includes releases on Court Order, releases to military authorities and termination of sentence due to death of inmate.

TABLE VI

# METHOD OF RELEASE FROM PENITENTIARIES BY TIME SERVED FOR THE FISCAL YEARS 1950-51 to 1954-55 1950-51

Time Served	Method of Release							
	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other Forms of Release (b)	TOTAL			
Under 1 year	767 343 184	85 226 84 37 9 9	2 34 ? 5 3 —	15 4 1 — 1 — 1	111 1,031 435 226 43 58 8 6			
TOTAL	1,387	458	52	22	1.919			

1951-52

	METHOD OF RELEASE							
Time Served	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)	Other Forms of Release (b)	Тоты			
Under 1 year.  1 and under 2 years.  2 and under 3 years.  3 and under 4 years.  4 and under 5 years.  5 and under 10 years.  10 and under 15 years.  15 and under 20 years.  20 years and over.	45 37 12 4	38 219 74 14 10 6 8	16 1 3 1 4 —	14 12 2 1 2 3 - 2 2	59 1,125 446 219 58 50 20 10			
TOTAL	1,554	373	25	38	1,990			

1952-53

	METHOD OF RELEASE							
TIME SERVED	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (2)	Other Forms of Release (b)	TOTAL			
Under 1 year  1 and under 2 years  2 and under 3 years  3 and under 4 years  4 and under 5 years  5 and under 10 years  10 and under 15 years  15 and under 20 years  20 years and over	213 30 61 3 2	7 224 76 32 10 28 2	14 6 4 2 —	12 8 2 2  	31 1,037 435 251 42 91 5			
TOTAL	1,463	384	26	26	1,899			

1953-54

·	METHOD OF RELEASE							
Time Served	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)		Total			
Inder 1 year.  1 and under 2 years.  2 and under 3 years.  3 and under 4 years.  4 and under 5 years.  5 and under 10 years.  0 and under 15 years.  5 and under 20 years.  10 years and over.	191 71 114 14 10	15 192 62 54 16 34 8	2 25 6 - 2 3 -	16 10 1 1 3 - 2	45 1,142 543 245 90 154 22 20			
TOTAL	1,801	389	38	34	2,262			

1954-55

	METHOD OF RELEASE						
Time Served	Expiration	Ticket of Leave	Other Clemency Release (a)		TOTAL		
Under 1 year  1 and under 2 years  2 and under 3 years  3 and under 4 years  4 and under 5 years  5 and under 10 years  10 and under 15 years  15 and under 20 years  20 years and over	852 403 102 53 36 5	17 292 65 24 9 36 7	2 43 9 8 1 4	10 11 3 1 2 	31 1.198 480 134 64 78 12 3		
TOTAL	1,454	453	67	27	2,001		

- (a) includes releases for deportation and other conditional or unconditional release exclusive of Ticket of Leave.
   (b) includes releases on Court Order, releases to military authorities and termination of sentence due to death of inmate.

TABLE VII

#### INCIDENCE OF EXCEPTION TO HALF-TIME RULE 1952 AND 1953

Year	Total No. Released (a)	No. Released Prior to Half-Time	Rate
1952	976	106	10.86%
1953	1,043	78	7.47%
TOTAL	2,019	184	9.11%

(a) includes Tickets of Leave, releases forthwith and releases for deportation but does not include those who were serving life terms.

TABLE VIII TICKETS OF LEAVE GRANTED BY PROVINCE OF DESTINATION ON RELEASE, 1950-1955

PROVINCE	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	TOTAL
British Columbia (b)	85	63	74	87	78	133	520
Alberta	56	62	78	82	86	114	478
Saskatchewan	28	33	14	21	27	41	164
Manitoba	57	26	40	33	42	74	272
Ontario (b)	158	138	111	170	175	338	1,090
Quebec	435	326	328	361	403	460	2,313
New Brunswick	39	43	45	24	34	44	229
Nova Scotia	48	56	54	30	47	92	327
Prince Edward Island	13	3	6	7	8	7	44
Newfoundland	3	2	5	5	4	6	25
Yukon and N.W. Territories	2	2	1	5	_	-	10
TOTAL (a) (b)	924	754	756	825	904	1,309	5,472

<sup>(</sup>a) the discrepancy between these totals and those shown in some other tables is due to the fact that the information contained in this table is based on actual release dates, whereas other tables are based on dates releases approved.
(b) does not include persons released on parole by the British Columbia and Ontario Boards of Parole.

TABLE IX INCIDENCE OF RECIDIVISM OF PERSONS RELEASED ON TICKET OF LEAVE, 1950-1955

		1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	TOTAL
GRANT	OF LEAVE ED (a) ES AND RATE CIDIVISM	924	754	756	825	904	1,309	5,472
	eation%	12 1.29	14 1,85	19 2.51	8 0.96	8 0.88	28 2.13	89 1.62
2) Forfei	ture%	24 2.59	15 1.98	27 3.57	29 3,51	28 3.09	39 2.97	162 2.96
3) Subse Senter Provin Institu	ice to icial	154 16.66	108 14.32	84   11.11	93 11.27	57 6.30	16 1.22	512 9.35
4) Subset Senter Penite	quent ice to intiary,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	53 5.73	41 5,43	50 6.61	51 6.18	23 2,54	10 0.76	228 4.16
•	TOTAL	243 26.29	178 23.60	180 23 80	181 21.93	116 12.83	93 7.10	991 18.11

- 1.—(a)—see footnote Table VIII.
- 2.—Note:

  (a) Resocation refers to a person who violated the conditions of his Ticket
  Leave and was returned to prison to serve the remanet of his sentence.

  (b) Forfeiture refers to a person who, while on Ticket of Leave, was convicted
  of an indictable offence and was returned to prison to serve the remanet
  of his original sentence, in addition to the new sentence imposed for
  the new offence.
  - (c) Subsequent sentence to provincial institution refers to a person who successfully completed his Ticket of Leave, but was later convicted of a new offence and sentenced to a provincial institution.
    (d) Subsequent sentence to penitentiary refers to a person who successfully completed his Ticket of Leave, but was later convicted of a new offence and sentenced to a penitentiary.

REMISSION SERVICE DATA ON RELEASE AND SUPERVISION 1949, 1953, 1954, 1955

	!	. !							ı		
	(p) 21	-55		8				17		97	l
	SSION	-54		88				38		126	ĺ
	REPRESENTATIVE (d)	-53		123				48		65 171	l
•	REPR	S)		77				62		જ	
SUPERVISION		-55	65	207	259	55	109	73	ı	368	1
7 I S I	SOCIAL AGENCIES (c)	-54 -55	53	138	130	35	80	25	ı	446	
ERV	SOCIAL	-53	19	89	106	22	73	558	-	342	
UP	Ä	49	. 71	32	37	1	90	-	1	81	
S		-55	\$	2	82	٥	24	v	ı	179	1
	(VRS		34	52	12	-	=	-	1	111	
	RELATIVES AND FRIENDS	-53 -54	15	31	28	90	16	-	j	66	
	A. H.	ŝ	18	74	23	10	14	9	1	145	
		-55	45	129	45	15	22	43 j	i	299	ĺ
	ê	-54	25	130	34	<b>\$</b>	10	15h	1	223	
	POLICE (b)	-53	33	141	30	1	22	11	-	245	
	_	\$	78	302	110	56	89	22	4	610	
	8	-53	158	480	333	2	155	79h 138j		343	1
	F LEA	-54	<b>\$</b>	408	176	4	110		-	906 1343	l
	TICKETS OF LEAVE	-53	67	363	164	37	110	115g	₩	857	
	Trek	64	<b>8</b>	411	170	43	96	16	4	70%	1
		-55	33	48	44	13	32	24 [	-	195	1
	UNCONDITIONAL RELEASE (a)	١.	33	81	44	16	35	25e	1	234	
	CONDI KLEAS	53	27	\$	\$	, ro	36	<b>œ</b>	1	184	
	Ž	-49 -5354	. 92	124	17	13	33 - 36	20	m	300 184	
		!	ATLANTIC PROVINCES	Опе	ONT	Мам	SASK. & ALTA	В, С	YUKON & N. W. TERR	CANADA	

(a) releases for deportation not included.
(b) licences report exclusively to police.
(c) provincial probation or parole services included.
(d) joint supervision with social agencies not included.
(e) includes 1 Doukhobor.
(f) includes 10 Doukhobors.
(g) Includes 31 Doukhobors; supervision arranged by Dept. of Att. Gen., B.C.
(h) includes 39 Doukhobors.
(j) includes 39 Doukhobors.

. TABLE XI

#### FREE PARDONS AND ORDINARY PARDONS GRANTED, 1941 - 1955

Year	Free Pardons	Ordinary Pardons
1941	_	1
1942	. <u>—</u>	
1943		_
1944	_	1
19 <b>4</b> 5	4	3
1946	<del>-</del>	_
1947	_	1
1948	_	1
19 <b>49</b>	_	
1950	_	1
1951	_	1
1952		_
1953	2	2
1954	1	2
1955	_	3
TOTAL	7	16

#### TABLE XII

# NEW TRIALS ORDERED BY MINISTER OF JUSTICE UNDER SECTION 1022 FORMER CRIMINAL CODE

Year		Number
1898	_	1
1912	_	1
1913	-	1
1915	-	2
1918	_	2
1919	_	1
1921		2
1922	_	1
1924	_	1
1929	_	2
1933	_	1
1936		1
1953	_	1
Total	_	17

#### TABLE XIII

#### REMISSION OF CORPORAL PUNISHMENT 1934 - 1955

Year	Number of Cases
1934	. 4
1935	. 1
1936	. 1
1937	. nil

#### TABLE XIII (Cont'd.) 1938..... 1939..... 1 1940..... nil 1941..... 2 1 1942..... 1943.... nil nil 1944..... nil 1945..... 1946..... 1 1947..... 1948..... 1 1949..... 1950.... nil 1951..... nil 1952..... 1 1953..... 2 1954..... 2 2 1955..... 24 PARTIAL REMISSION Reduced.... Balance remitted..... REASONS FOR REMISSION (More than one reason present in some cases) Physical Unfitness..... 5 Psychosis...... 1 Advanced Age..... 1 Mitigating circumstances of offence brought to attention by Trial Judge...... 6 Special compassionate feature brought to attention by Trial Judge................ 3 In keeping with purpose of section 1054A—brought to attention by Trial Judge.. 2

#### TABLE XIV

#### PREVENTIVE DETENTION

#### Number Confined by Place of Detention

	Habitual Criminals	Sexual Psychopaths
British Columbia Pen'y	11	6
Saskatchewan Pen'y	10	4
,	one on T/L)	
Manitoba Pen'y	8	0
Kingston Pen'y	12	7
COLLIN'S BAY PEN'Y	0	0
St. Vincent de Paul Pen'y	2	3
FEDERAL TRAINING CENTRE	0	0
Dorchester Pen'y	4	2
	_	
Grand Totals	47	22
•	(1 on T/L)	

#### TABLE XV

#### PREVENTIVE DETENTION

#### Number Confined by Place of Conviction

	Habitual Criminals	Sexual Psychopaths
BRITISH COLUMBIA		
Vancouver Victoria Nanaimo	7 2 1	5 1
New Westminster	1 Tot: 11	Тот: 6
Alberta		_
EdmontonLethbridgeMacLeod	1 1 1	1
BanffCalgary	1 Tor: 5	<u>2</u> Тот: 3
Saskatchewan		
Swift Current Prince Albert Humboldt	2 1 1	
Battleford	1 Tot: 5	Тот: 0 —
Manitoba		
Winnipeg	8 Tor: 8	Тот: 0

TABLE XV (Cont'd.)	77 1 h. d	gt
Overno	Habitual Criminals	Sexual Psycho <b>paths</b>
Ontario		2 2
TorontoSt. Catharines		1
Windsor		•
Welland	_	1
St. Thomas	. 1	
Kingston		1
Ottawa		1 7-7 7
Owen Sound	Тот: 12	1 Tor: 7 —
QUEBEC		
Montreal		1
Quebec	Тот: 2	2 Tor: 3
New Brunswick	. Тот: 0	Тот: 0
Nova Scotia		<del></del>
Sydney	. 1	
Halifax	_	
Amherst		1 <b>Тот: 1</b>
	<del></del>	•
P. E. I.	T 0 '	4 Tam. 1
Charlottetown	Тот: 0	1 Tor: 1
Newfoundland	Тот: 0	Тот: 0
Northwest		
Territories		
Yellowknife	Тот: 0	1 Tor: 1
	<del></del>	_
Grand Totals		22
	(1 on T/L)	
TABLE XVI		
RELEASES FORTHWITH	(a) 1941 - 1955	
<i>Yеат</i>	Number	
1941		
1942		
1943		
1944	541	
1945	288	
1946		
1947		
1948		
1949		
1950		
1951 1952		
1953		
1954		
1955		

(a) releases for deportation not included.

#### TABLE XVII

#### NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED BY THE ONTARIO BOARD OF PAROLE 1950 - 1956

	Men	Women
1950-51	679	32
1951-52	609	28
1952-53	661	56
1953-54	693	25
1954-55	723	18
1955-56	<b>84</b> 6	39

#### TABLE XVIII

#### NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED BY BRITISH COLUMBIA BOARD OF PAROLE

(showing revocations)

#### 1955-56

(Fiscal year ending March 31, 1956)	
Released on Licence:	
Young Offender's Unit. Oakalla Prison Farm New Haven Institution	93 77 51
Total	221
Total Revocations:	-
Young Offender's Unit. Oakalla Prison Farm. New Haven Institution	30 24 11
Тотац	65
Revocations on Licences Granted:	
Young Offender's Unit. Oakalla Prison Farm. New Haven Institution.	
Total	42

#### APPENDIX B

#### SECTIONS 638 AND 639 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

#### SUSPENDED SENTENCE AND PROBATION

638.(1) Where an accused is convicted of an offence and no previous conviction is proved against him, and it appears to the court that convicts him or that hears an appeal that, having regard to his age, character and antecedents, to the nature of the offence and to any extenuating circumstances surrounding the commission of the offence, it is expedient that the accused be released on probation, the court may, except where a minimum punishment is prescribed by law, instead of sentencing him to punishment, suspend the passing of sentence and direct that he be released upon entering into a recognizance in Form 28, with or without sureties,

- (a) to keep the peace and be of good behaviour during any period that is fixed by the court, and
- (b) to appear and to receive sentence when called upon to do so during the period fixed under paragraph (a), upon breach of his recognizance.
- (2) A court that suspends the passing of sentence may prescribe as conditions of the recognizance that
  - (a) the accused shall make restitution and reparation to any person aggrieved or injured for the actual loss or damage caused by the commission of the offence, and
  - (b) the accused shall provide for the support of his and any other dependents whom he is liable to support,

and the court may impose such further conditions as it considers desirable in the circumstances and may from time to time change the conditions and increase or decrease the period of the recognizance, but no such recognizance shall be kept in force for more than two years.

- (3) A court that suspends the passing of sentence may require as a condition of the recognizance that the accused shall report from time to time, as it may prescribe, to a person designated by the court, and the accused shall be under the supervision of that person during the prescribed period.
- (4) The person designated by the court under subsection (3) shall report to the court if the accused does not carry out the terms on which the passing of sentence was suspended, and the court may order that the accused be brought before it to be sentenced.
- (5) Where one previous conviction and no more is proved against an accused who is convicted, but the previous conviction took place more than five years before the time of the commission of the offence of which he is convicted, or was for an offence that is not related in character to the offence of which he is convicted, the court may, not withstanding subsection (1), suspend the passing of sentence and make the direction mentioned in subsection (1).
- 639.(1) A court that has suspended the passing of sentence, or a justice having jurisdiction in the territorial division in which a recognizance was taken under section 638 may, upon being satisfied by information on oath that the accused has failed to observe a condition of the recognizance, issue a summons to compel his appearance or a warrant for his arrest.
- (4) The court may, upon the appearance of the accused pursuant to this section or subsection (4) of section 638 and upon being satisfied that the accused has failed to observe a condition of his recognizance, sentence him for the offence of which he was convicted.

#### APPENDIX C

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT, 1948

7.(1) Where a court by or before which a person is convicted of an offence (not being an offence the sentence for which is fixed by law) is of opinion, having regard to the circumstances including the nature of the offence and the character of the offender, that it is inexpedient to inflict punishment and that a probation order is not appropriate, the court may make an order discharging him absolutely, or, if the court thinks fit, discharging him subject to the condition that he commits no offence during such period, not exceeding twelve months from the date of the order, as may be specified therein.

- (2) An order discharging a person subject to such a condition as aforesaid is in this Act referred to as "an order for conditional discharge", and the period specified in any such order as "the period of conditional discharge".
- (3) Before making an order for conditional discharge the court shall explain to the offender in ordinary language that if he commits another offence during the period of conditional discharge he will be liable to be sentenced for the original offence,
- (4) Where, under the following provisions of this Part of this Act, a person conditionally discharged under this section is sentenced for the offence in respect of which the order for conditional discharge was made, that order shall cease to have effect.
- 12.(1) Subject as hereinafter provided, a conviction of an offence for which an order is made under this Part of this Act placing the offender on probation or discharging him absolutely or conditionally shall be deemed not to be a conviction for any purpose other than the purposes of the proceedings in which the order is made and of any subsequent proceedings which may be taken against the offender under the foregoing provisions of this Act:

Provided that where an offender, being not less than seventeen years of age at the time of his conviction of an offence for which he is placed on probation or conditionally discharged as aforesaid, is subsequently sentenced under this Part of this Act for that offence, the provisions of this subsection shall cease to apply to the conviction.

- (2) Without prejudice to the foregoing provisions of this section, the conviction of an offender who is placed on probation or discharged absolutely or conditionally as aforesaid shall in any event be disregarded for the purposes of any enactment which imposes any disqualification or disability upon convicted persons, or authorises or requires the imposition of any such disqualification or disability.
  - (3) The foregoing provisions of this section shall not affect-
  - (a) any right of any such offender as aforesaid to appeal against his conviction, or to rely thereon in bar of any subsequent proceedings for the same offence;
  - (b) the revesting or restoration of any property in consequence of the conviction of any such offender; or
  - (c) the operation, in relation to any such offender, of any enactment in force at the commencement of this Act which is expressed to extend to persons dealt with under subsection (1) of section one of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1907, as well as to convicted persons.

#### APPENDIX D

#### SECTION 7 OF ONTARIO PROBATION ACT

- 7.(1) Where a person is charged with having committed an offence against any statute of Ontario the justice, magistrate or court before which such person is brought for trial may make such inquiries as he or it deems proper as to the character and reputation of the person charged and as to whether or not he has been previously convicted of any offence under the Criminal Code (Canada) or against a statute of Ontario, and if it appears that, regard being had to the age, character and antecedents of such person, that it is expedient that such person be released on probation of good conduct, such justice, magistrate or court may release the person charged under one or more of the following directions and conditions:
  - (a) that such person enter into a recognizance with or without sureties to keep the peace, and be of good behaviour;

- (b) that such person be placed upon probation for such period and under such circumstances as the justice, magistrate or court before which he is brought may prescribe;
- (c) that such person shall report from time to time during such period of probation to any probation officer that the justice, magistrate or court may designate;
- (d) that such person shall be under the supervision and direction of such probation officer during the period of probation, and shall obey and carry out the instructions and directions of the probation officer;
- (e) that such person pay the costs of the prosecution or some portion of the same within such period and by such instalments as the justice, magistrate or court before which he is brought may direct;
- that such person make restitution and reparation to any person or persons aggrieved or injured by the offence charged, for any actual damage or loss thereby caused;
- (g) that such person while on probation be ordered to provide for the support of his wife and any other dependant or dependants for which he is liable;
- (h) that such person perform and carry out any other direction and condition that the justice, magistrate or court before which he is brought may prescribe and deem proper to impose.
- (2) The justice, magistrate or court before which such person is brought, before directing the release or discharge of any such person, shall be satisfied that such person or his surety has a fixed place of abode or regular occupation in the county or place for which the justice, magistrate or court acts, or in which such person is likely to live during the period named for the observance of the conditions.
- (3) If any justice, magistrate or court having power to deal with such person in respect of the charge against him, or if any justice, magistrate or court is satisfied by information on oath that such person has failed to observe any of the conditions of his recognizance, or has failed to observe and perform any direction or condition made in reference to probation or otherwise, a new information may be issued against such person for the original offence charged, and in addition an information may also be issued against such person for a breach of any of the directions and conditions so imposed.
- (4) Upon summary conviction of a breach of any of the directions and conditions so made, such person may in addition to any penalty that may be imposed for the original offence, be liable to a penalty of not more than \$50.
- (5) Where the justice, magistrate or court finds that there has been a previous conviction against the person charged, the justice, magistrate or court may exercise the powers conferred by subsection 1, subject to the approval and concurrence of the Crown attorney. R.S.O. 1937, c. 399, s. 7.

#### APPENDIX E

# PART XXI OF THE CRIMINAL CODE PREVENTIVE DETENTION

#### Interpretation

659. In this Part,

- (a) "court" means
  - (i) a superior court of criminal jurisdiction, or
  - (ii) a court of criminal jurisdiction;

- (b) "criminal sexual psychopath" means a person who, by a course of misconduct in sexual matters, has shown a lack of power to control his sexual impulses and who as a result is likely to attack or otherwise inflict injury, pain or other evil on any person, and
- (c) "preventive detention" means detention in a penitentiary for an indeterminate period.

#### HABITUAL CRIMINALS

- 660.(1) Where an accused is convicted of an indictable offence the court may, upon application, impose a sentence of preventive detention in addition to any sentence that is imposed for the offence of which he is convicted if
  - (a) the accused is found to be an habitual criminal, and
  - (b) the court is of the opinion that because the accused is an habitual criminal, it is expedient for the protection of the public to sentence him to preventive detention.
  - (2) For the purposes of subsection (1), an accused is an habitual criminal if
  - (a) he has previously, since attaining the age of eighteen years, on at least three separate and independent occasions been convicted of an indictable offence for which he was liable to imprisonment for five years or more and is leading persistently a criminal life, or
  - (b) he has been previously sentenced to preventive detention.

#### CRIMINAL SEXUAL PSYCHOPATHS

- 661.(1) Where an accused is convicted of
- (a) an offence under
  - (i) section 136,
  - (ii) section 138,
  - (iii) section 141,
  - (iv) section 147,
  - (v) section 148, or
  - (vi) section 149; or
- (b) an attempt to commit an offence under a provision mentioned in paragraph
  (a),

  court may, upon application, before passing sentence hear evidence as to whether

the court may, upon application, before passing sentence hear evidence as to whether the accused is a criminal sexual psychopath.

- (2) On the hearing of an application under subsection (1) the court may hear any evidence that it considers necessary, but shall hear the evidence of at least two psychiatrists, one of whom shall be nominated by the Attorney General.
- (3) Where the court finds that the accused is a criminal sexual psychopath it shall, notwithstanding anything in this Act or any other Act of the Parliament of Canada, sentence the accused to a term of imprisonment of not less than two years in respect of the offence of which he was convicted and, in addition, impose a sentence of preventive detention.

#### **GENERAL**

- 662. (1) The following provisions apply with respect to applications under this Part, namely,
  - (a) an application under subsection (1) of section 660 shall not be heard unless
    - the Attorney General of the province in which the accused is to be tried consents.

- (ii) seven clear days' notice has been given to the accused by the prosecutor specifying the previous convictions and the other circumstances, if any, upon which it is intended to found the application, and
- (iii) a copy of the notice has been filed with the clerk of the court or the magistrate, as the case may be; and
- (b) an application under subsection (1) of section 661 shall not be heard unless seven clear days' notice thereof has been given to the accused by the prosecutor and a copy of the notice has been filed with the clerk of the court or with the magistrate, where the magistrate is acting under Part XVI.
- (2) An application under this Part shall be heard and determined before sentence is passed for the offence of which the accused is convicted and shall be heard by the court without a jury.
- (3) For the purposes of section 660, where the accused admits the allegations contained in the notice referred to in paragraph (b) of subsection (1), no proof of those allegations is required.
- 663. Without prejudice to the right of the accused to tender evidence as to his character and repute, evidence of character and repute may, where the court thinks fit, be admitted on the question whether the accused is or is not persistently leading a criminal life or is or is not a criminal sexual psychopath, as the case may be.
- 664. A sentence of preventive detention shall commence immediately upon the determination of the sentence imposed upon the accused for the offence of which he was convicted, but the Governor in Council may, at any time, commute that sentence to a sentence of preventive detention.
- 665. (1) Notwithstanding anything in this Act or any other Act of the Parliament of Canada an accused who is sentenced to preventive detention shall serve in a penitentiary the sentence for the offence of which he was convicted as well as the sentence of preventive detention.
- (2) An accused who is sentenced to preventive detention may be confined in a penitentiary or part of a penitentiary set apart for that purpose and shall be subject to such disciplinary and reformative treatment as may be prescribed by law.
- 666. Where a person is in custody under a sentence of preventive detention, the Minister of Justice shall, at least once in every three years, review the condition, history and circumstances of that person for the purpose of determining whether he should be permitted to be at large on licence, and if so, on what conditions.
- 667. (1) A person who is sentenced to preventive detention under this Part may appeal to the court of appeal against that sentence.
- (2) The Attorney General may appeal to the court of appeal against the dismissal of an application for an order under this Part.
- (3) The provisions of Part XVIII with respect to procedure on appeals apply, mutatis mutandis, to appeals under this section.

#### APPENDIX F

#### SECTIONS 43 AND 46 OF THE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES ACT

43. The Lieutenant-Governor of the Province of Ontario may appoint a Board of Parole for the said Province whose duty it shall be to inquire from time to time into the cases of prisoners sentenced to the Ontario Reformatory, the Andrew Mercer Reformatory or any industrial farm, and where as a result of such inquiry the Board thinks proper, it may permit prisoners serving indeterminate sentences to be paroled under conditions approved of by the Minister of Justice, and when the terms on which

such prisoners have been paroled have been complied with, the Board may recommend for the consideration of the Minister of Justice the final discharge of such prisoners. R. S., c.163, s.43.

46. Every court in the Province of Ontario, before which any person is convicted for an offence against the laws of Canada, punishable by imprisonment in the common gaol for the term of three months, or for any longer time, may sentence such person to imprisonment for a term of not less than three months and for an indeterminate period thereafter of not more than two years less one day in the Ontario Reformatory instead of the common gaol of the county or judicial district where the offence was committed or was tried. R. S., c.163, s.46.

#### APPENDIX G

#### SECTIONS 151 AND 152 OF THE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES ACT

151. Every court in the province of British Columbia, before which any male person apparently over the age of sixteen years and under the age of twenty-three years is convicted of an offence against the laws of Canada, punishable by imprisonment in the common gaol for the term of three months, or for any longer term, may sentence such person to imprisonment for the term of not less than three months and for an indeterminate period thereafter of not more than two years less one day in that portion of Oakalla Prison Farm known as the Young Offenders Unit or in New Haven instead of the common gaol of the county or judicial district where the offence was committed or was tried, and such person shall thereupon be imprisoned in that portion of Oakalla Prison Farm known as the Young Offenders Unit or in New Haven as the case may be, until he is lawfully discharged or paroled pursuant to section 152 or transferred pursuant to section 153, and shall be subject to all the rules and regulations of the institution as may be approved from time to time by the Lieutenant-Governor in that behalf.

152. The Lieutenant-Governor may appoint a Board of Parole for the said Province whose duty it is to inquire from time to time into the cases of prisoners sentenced to that portion of Oakalla Prison Farm known as the Young Offenders Unit or to New Haven and the prisoners transferred under section 153, and where as a result of such inquiry the Board thinks proper, it may permit prisoners serving indeterminate sentences to be paroled under conditions approved of by the Minister of Justice, and when the terms on which such prisoners were paroled have been complied with, the Board may recommend for the consideration of the Minister of Justice the final discharge of such prisoners.

(Specimen)

#### APPENDIX H

#### ORDER FOR RELEASE ON LICENCE FROM NEW HAVEN

The Board of Parole for the Province of British Columbia, in pursuance of to powers conferred upon it by Statute in that behalf, does by this licence permit
Dated at New Haven, Burnaby, B.C., this
Chairman, Board of Parole for th Province of British Columbia.

#### CONDITIONS

- 1. The licencee, during the term of his licence, shall be under the supervision and authority of the Chairman of the Borstal Association.
- 2. He shall forthwith proceed to such place and remain in the care of such person as is directed by the Chairman or Secretary of the Borstal Association and shall not move from that place or change his residence without the consent of that Association or the person under whose care he has been placed.
- 3. He shall obey such instructions as may be given with regard to punctual and regular attendance at employment or otherwise, and shall report periodically either personally or by letter if required to do so.
- 4. He shall abstain from any violation of the law, shall not associate with persons of bad character, and shall lead a sober, steady, and industrious life to the satisfaction of the Borstal Association.
- 5. He shall not visit New Haven or write to any inmate in it without first obtaining the permission of the Director of the institution.
- 6. He shall preserve this Order for Release on Licence and produce it when called upon to do so by a Magistrate or peace officer.
- 7. (Any further conditions to be inserted here).

I hereby acknowledge that the above order, and the conditions upon which it is granted, have been read over to me and that I fully understand the same.

	Licencee
	Witness
The above named was released o	n licence from New Haven on
	19
	Director

#### ONTARIO

## DEPARTMENT OF REFORM INSTITUTIONS

FORM 2

#### THE PAROLE ACT, 1946 RELEASE ON PAROLE

Under this Act and the regulations made thereunder, the Board of Parole releases on parole

(name of prisoner)

hereafter called the parolee, a prisoner at
(name of place of confinement)
who was on the:day of19
sentenced to an indeterminate sentence of
1. The parolee shall proceed at once to
for employment and shall reside at
and will there work and reside, if practicable, during the period of his parole.
<ol><li>In case he finds it desirable to change his employment or residence, he shall first obtain the written consent of the Board of Parole through its Chief Officer.</li></ol>
3. He shall on the first day of every month, until his final release, forward by mail to Ontario Board of Parole, Parliament Buildings, Toronto, a report of himself stating whether he has been constantly at work during the last month, and, if not, why not, how much he has earned, and how much expended, together with a general statement as to his surroundings and prospects, which report must be endorsed by some responsible citizen.
4. He shall in all respects conduct himself honestly, strictly avoiding intoxicating liquor, drugs and all habits, associations and places which are not good or helpful, and strictly obey the law.
5. As soon as possible after reaching his destination, he shall report to
and at once enter the employment stated in paragraph one of these conditions. He shall also report by mail to the Chief Parole Officer his arrival at destination, giving his exact residence address.
Given in duplicate this
(Chief Parole Officer
(Chief Parole Officer or other official designated by him)  Valid only when
countersigned by
I, a prisoner at the declare
(name of place of confinement)
that I have carefully read, and understand the conditions and contents of this release on parole and I accept my release thereunder and pledge myself honestly to comply with the conditions therein.
Signed in duplicate thisday of19
••••••••••••••••••••••••
**************************************

#### APPENDIX I

#### FINES AND PECUNIARY PENALTIES

## Reasons for Remission — Calendar Year 1955

There were 29 cases in which there was a remission or partial remission of a fine or other pecuniary penalty.

(1)	Income Tax Act	
	Prosecuted in Error—	_
	(recognition of innocence)	7
	Prosecuted in Error (Contrary to National Revenue Policy)	2 3 4
	Hardship (health or disability)	3
	Hardship (family obligations)	4
	Total	16
(2)	Excise Act	
(-)	Hardship (health or disability)	1
	Hardship (health or disability)	7
	Total.,	
(3)	Indian Act	
(0)	Hardship (health or disability)	1
	Hardship (health or disability)	1
	Total	
<b>(4</b> )	Customs Act	
	Hardship (disability and family obligations)	3
<b>(5)</b>	Unemployment Insurance Act	
` '	Prosecuted in Error—	
	Prosecuted in Error— (recognition of innocence)	1
(6)		
	Hardship (family obligations)	1

#### APPENDIX J

## INSTITUTIONAL VISITS IN BELGIUM AND ENGLAND

The members of the committee visited, in all, fifteen penal institutions in Belgium and England. These institutions are of various types and are designed to offer specialized treatment for different classes of offenders.

In Belgium we made a complete tour of the following three institutions:

- (1) A large Central Prison, which, among other interesting features, contained a medical psychiatric diagnostic centre.
- (2) A Boys' Training School.
- (3) An open institution for young adult males.
- In England we visited the following:
- (1) A Borstal Reception Centre.
- (2) A Closed Borstal for older boys.

- (3) An Open Borstal for older girls.
- (4) A Closed Regional Training Prison.
- An Open Camp Prison (under the administration of the Regional Training
- (6) An Open Regional Training Prison.
- (7) A Closed Corrective Training Centre.
- (8) An Open Central Prison.
- (9) A Closed Central Prison (for prisoners serving preventive detention).
- (10) A Detention Centre.
- (11) A Prison for Mentally Ill Inmates.
- (12) A Special Discharge Unit for Prisoners serving the last stage of preventive detention.

Some of these institutions are described in more detail below.

#### REPORT ON INSTITUTIONAL VISITS

(Belgium)

Institution:

Marneffe, Belgium.

Type:

Training Institution (open).

Type of Inmates:

Selected first offenders under the age of 25 years. There are a

few exceptions to this age limit.

Employment:

Farming, maintenance, service and construction in the main. Vocational training in electricity, carpentry and construction.

Education:

The emphasis is on practical training rather than academic

training, but there is provision for study groups.

Recreational

Program:

Auditorium, football field and other sports facilities. An interesting feature of this institution is the utilization of the Scout Movement program. Inmates may voluntarily join a scout

troup and each troup has its own private meeting place.

General:

The inmates are divided into groups under supervisors and instructors. There is a certain degree of self-government allowed. The general atmosphere of the institution was one of apparently

active interest and participation in the program.

#### REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(England)

Institution:

Blantyre House, Goudhurst, Kent.

Type:

Detention Centre.

Capacity:

Type of Population & Selection:

This is an institution for youths 17-21 years of age.

Sentenced to:

Short periods of detention under the Criminal Justice Act. They are sentenced to the institution directly by the courts. The desirable sentence is three months, but some are sentenced as much as six months.

The inmates are supposed to be youths who have had no previous institutional experience.

Program:

The program in this institution is what is known as the "Short, Sharp, Shock". The theory behind the program is that certain types of young offenders who have been unsuccessful on probation and who have had no previous institutional experience, may be deterred from further offences by a short period of very rigorous discipline.

The lads in the institution are kept at hard work and hard exercise all day under strict discipline. There is a short recreational period in the evening, followed by closely supervised study and an early "lights out". From our observations we would conclude that a very faithful effort is being made to provide as rigorous a regime as is possible and yet keep the treatment humane. In true English fashion, despite all the rigid discipline, the inmates are still provided with a swimming pool, but, of course, the use of the pool is part of the physical education program under strict discipline.

The officials regard the project as an experiment and consider that it is too early to come to any conclusions. Tentatively, they feel that if the program is to be of any value it must be followed by a period of enforced supervision in the community. At the same time they are endeavouring to persuade dischargees to accept the guidance of a local probation officer.

#### REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(England)

Institution:

Latchmore House, Near Richmond Surrey.

Type:

Borstal Reception Centre.

Capacity:

141. Population: 120

Type of Population:

This is one of the two Borstal Reception Centres for youths. The other centre is attached to Wormwood Scrubs.

Buildings & Facilities:

This is a former small country estate. The former home is used as an administration building. There have been other army style buildings erected. The property is surrounded by a wire fence.

Program:

Youths sentenced to a term of Borstal training are sent immediately from the local prison to the Reception Centre, where they remain for approximately eight weeks.

In this institution are concentrated the professional people, i.e. psychiatrists, psychologists and social workers.

The newcomer is subjected to a battery of psychological tests. He goes through the trades testing program in trades testing shops, spending a number of days in each shop. He is interviewed by psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, educational counsellor and vocational counsellor. The social worker also visits the inmate's home and in addition to obtaining further

background material, attempts to interpret the Borstal program to the family.

When all testing and interviewing are completed, there is a staff conference at which the decision is made as to which of the several training Borstals the youth will be sent.

The whole process appeared to be exceedingly thorough. The philosophy behind the Reception Centre seems to be that there can be no adequate treatment without adequate diagnosis.

We were interested in the role of the social worker at the Reception Centre. The establishment calls for two social workers who may be either male or female. At Latchmore House both workers are female. As stated above, they interview the man in the institution and visit his home and in addition attempt at the Reception Centre to straighten out problems of the youth's relationship with his family. All the information that they obtain and the reactions of the youth to his problems is, of course, recorded for the benefit of the people in the Training Borstal who are to receive him.

The Housemaster at the Training Borstal is the key officer in carrying on a program of training and counselling, but special care is taken at the Reception Centre to send the youth to the appropriate training Borstal.

## REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(England)

Institution:

Rochester, Kent.

Type:

Training Borstal (closed).

Capacity:

Population: 256.

Type of Inmates

and Selection:

This Borstal receives young offenders about 17-19, who require close custody. They include absconders from approved schools and others who have failed on probation. They are selected after

an eight weeks' period at the reception centre.

Employment:

Maintenance, service and agricultural in the main, vocational training in bricklaying, painting, carpentry and motor mechanics.

The vocational training is apparently a six months' introductory

Education:

Night classes; local school teachers (paid) come in. Housemasters

Meals:

Served in dormitory or hall; all dine in association.

Sleeping:

The institution is divided into four houses or "halls" with a housemaster and assistant housemaster and matron for each house.

Buildings:

The Borstal is an old convict prison enclosed by a wall. The buildings are old, but kept in repair.

Recreational Facilities:

Gymnasium; a large enclosed swimming pool; football fields outside the walls; recreation room in each house.

Supervision and Security:

As this is a closed Borstal, supervision is rather strict. Inmates move in groups, usually under control of a supervisor. Housemaster and assistant housemaster act as both counsellors and disciplinarians.

Punishments:

Dissociation with hard labour and exercise. We watched a group of about one dozen in dissociation undergoing disciplinary exercise under a P.T. instructor.

Grade:

The inmates go through progressive grade stages. They remain in the first grade usually 6 months. This is followed by a four month training grade. The next step is called senior training grade and is held until a youth is ready for the discharge grade. There are differences in privileges, freedom and responsibilities in the different grades. As a youth responds to treatment and increased responsibility, he is watched for discharge consideration. The average period served in this institution is approximately 22 months. Some are released under supervision as early as 16 months.

Role of Housemaster: As stated above, the housemaster is counsellor, educational advisor and disciplinarian. He works a split shift, doing duty in the morning (mostly administrative work), has the afternoon free, and then returns to work with the boys in the house during the evening. The housemaster is considered by many people to be the key figure in the Borstal program of training. He will have under him some 50 to 75 lads whom he gets to know very well.

Role of Matron:

The matron has an office in the "house". She tends to such needs as mending, administering medicines, etc. The lads are free to visit her in her office to chat over any problems and she acts as a general "house mother".

Open Camps & Home Leaves:

Occasionally groups are taken out in the summer time for weekend or full week camps. When boys are nearly ready for release, they are frequently sent away on five days home leave, to arrange for employment and to know their supervisor. Of 168 granted such home leave, only ten failed to return on time.

Escapes:

They do not use the word escape. The official term is "abscond" It was admitted that absconding presented a problem. However, no criminal charge is laid for an escape from a Borstal. The returned absconder, however, faces disciplinarian action and may in all likelihood be sent to the correctional Borstal at Reading, where the discipline is more severe.

REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT (ENGLAND)

Institution:

East Sutton Park, Kent. Training Borstal (open).

Type:

Capacity:

51.

Type of Inmates and Selection:

Girls selected from the closed Borstal at Aylesbury, mostly teenaged, usually petty offences.

Employment;

Maintenance, service and market gardening. There has been a lot of repair work done in that institution, which we were interested to learn had been done by the girls themselves. This work included bricklaying, concrete laying, carpentry work and painting.

Educational Classes

and Library:

Same as in other institutions described.

Recreational Facilities:

Common rooms; girls frequently take part in community affairs in the village of East Sutton.

Buildings:

The main building is a very old country home, in which all the girls are accommodated in small dormitories. The Governor stated that the girls took very good care of the interior of the building and that there was no destruction of the woodwork and other interior decoration.

On the property is an old chapel which is shared by the institution

and the people of the village.

Atmosphere:

The institution appeared to us to be like a well-disciplined girls'

boarding school.

REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(England)

Institution:

Maidstone, Kent.

Type:

Regional Training Prison (closed).

Capacity:

Population: 450.

Type of Inmate and Selection:

Inmates come on transfer from local prisons and the corrective training allocation centre. About half the population are star class prisoners, i.e. first offenders, the remainder are ordinary prisoners suitable for training and prisoners on a corrective

training sentence.

Employment:

Maintenance, service and industrial. There is a large print shop.

Under the administration of this prison is the "Aldington Camp", an open institution where the inmates are employed on construc-

tion and farm labour.

Educational Classes: Night classes—usual program as described in other institutions.

Library:

Large library to which inmates are allowed free access during their free time, including evenings. We visited the library in the evening and saw several inmates reading. Inmate librarians

handle the issuing of books.

Buildings:

This is a very old prison, formerly a debtors' prison, yet the buildings are in a good state of repair. Within the prison walls is

a very large Gothic chapel.

Recreational Facilities:

Gymnasium, which also serves the purpose of an auditorium. Hobby shops—We saw several inmates engaged in painting.

Medical and Psychiatric Facilities:

At this institution we had the opportunity to spend some time with the medical officer. He is a full-time employee of the Commission. Except for a certain amount of court work, he devotes all his time to the inmates of the institution. He, like most of the medical officers in English prisons, has had psy-chiatric training. He prepares brief case histories on each inmate. The Governor ordinarily visits him each morning to discuss with him any inmate problems of a medical, psychiatric

Punishments:

Apparently there is little formal punishment other than the withdrawal of privileges. Serious offenders apparently are transferred back to the local prison.

Aldington Camb:

This is a country estate with a small house which is used as an administrative building. Army style barracks have been built for the inmates. In addition to those living in the camp, some inmates are brought daily in trucks from the main prison at Maidstone.

Under arrangements made through the National Employment Bureau, some inmates are employed by local farmers. The employment of prison inmates by private employers presents no problem in England today, with its high employment level.

#### REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(ENGLAND)

Institution:

Leyhill, Glos.

Type:

Central Prison (open).

Type of Population & Selection:

Capacity:

Population: 225. Prisoners of the Star Class (long sentences) who have served some portion of their sentence, have not less than six months

to serve; includes lifers and prisoners with as much as six years of sentence remaining. The common period of time left to serve is about two years. The prisoners are all selected as suitable for "open" prison life.

Employment:

Maintenance, service and industrial in the main. Nine men work for local farmers. They ride to work on bicycles on their own. Shops include a print shop, shoe manufacturing shop, tailor shop

and carpenter shop.

Educational Classes: Night classes. Local teachers (paid) come in. Assistant Governors

take some classes.

Library:

Conducted as much like a public library as possible. Men come in noon hours and evenings. Two inmate librarians handle the

issuing of books.

Meals:

Cafeteria style. One mess hall. All dine in association. Come to

mess hall in their own time from the dormitories.

Sleeping:

Dormitories with rooms for "Leaders".

Buildings:

The prison is part of a country estate. Buildings single story, concrete block; a former Army hospital. Plenty of floor area to

meet all needs.

Recreational Facilities:

Auditorium, Gymnasium, football field, cricket field, and a small

wartime static water reservoir used as a swimming pool.

Supervision & Security: No movement during working hours except what is essential. Reasonably free movement otherwise. Less than ten escapes since prison opened in 1947. No escapes in last two years.

Atmosphere:

Quiet, orderly, but not restrained; there is a fair proportion of older men; steady work in the shops; normally pleasant conver-

sation in mess hall at lunch hour which we observed.

General:

Four men were on "parole", that is, on leave for a few days to go home to make arrangements regarding their post-release

#### REPORT ON INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(ENGLAND)

Institution:

Falfield, Glos.

Type:

Regional Training Prison (open).

Capacity:

187.

Population:

112.

Type of Inmate and Selection:

Inmates are transferred to Falfield from the Corrective Training Allocation Centre and from local prisons. They are all, of course, men considered to be suitable for open prison treatment. Most of immates are younger Star Class prisoners (first offenders). There are a few serving sentences of corrective training. The sentences

being served range from 18 months to 3 years.

Employment:

Maintenance, service farming and vocational training.

Educational Classes

and Library:

Same as described in other institutions.

Building:

The prison is part of a country estate. The main building in which all the inmates are housed is a former country home.

Recreational

Facilities:

Common rooms; Sports fields.

Atmosphere:

Somewhat the same as the adjacent Layhill Prison. Inmates are perhaps more spirited, in that the group as a whole is composed of

younger inmates.

REPORT OF INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(England)

Institution:

Parkhurst, Isle of Wight.

Type:

Central prison (closed).

Population: 700.

Capacity:

Type of Population and Selection:

There are a few special medical cases in Parkhurst, but in the main the population is composed of habitual criminals, serving the second stage of preventive detention. They are received on transfer from local prisons after they have passed into the second stage and when there is accommodation at Parkhurst available. Mostly the prisoners have served 18 months to 2 years, as the first stage in a local prison before coming to Park-

651.

Employment:

Maintenance, service and industrial in the main; some agricul-

Educational Classes

and Library:

Not examined in detail, but apparently similar to other prisons.

Meals:

An unique arrangement for serving of meals exists in this prison, in that the inmates are given a choice as to whether they will dine in their cell or in association. They can change from one method to the other, provided the change is not requested too frequently. Small mess halls are provided for those who choose to dine in association. While the prison authorities wish to give the inmates the privilege of dining in association, they do not want to have large groups together and are very much opposed to the large mess hall.

Building:

This is an old convict prison with very high walls. There is a large area enclosed by walls sufficient to provide sports fields and garden ground. The garden plot is another unique feature of Parkhurst Prison. There are several hundred small garden plots which well-behaved inmates may have to work at as a hobby. If they do not care properly for their plot, the privilege is removed.

Atmosphere: Security: Discipline:

The atmosphere in this institution was restrained. While certain privileges such as the gardening mentioned above are allowed, the discipline appears to be strict and security is, of course, of paramount importance. The inmates move in small groups under the control of an officer.

Advisory Board:

The Advisory Board appointed under the Criminal Justice Act holds regular sittings at Parkhurst Prison to recommend whether or not a man serving a period of preventive detention will be promoted from the second to the third stage.

We had the opportunity to sit in on a Board meeting during our visit. Each member of the Board is provided with a case summary some few days before the Board sitting. The Governor and an Assistant Governor are present at the Board meeting.

If the Board promotes the man to the third stage, he is transferred to Bristol Prison and released at two-thirds of his sentence. If they refuse to promote him to the third stage, he remains at Parkhurst until he has served five-sixths of his sentence, when he

is released on licence.

Assistant Governor:

An Assistant Governor in this institution appears to have a different function than that performed by Assistant Governors or Housemasters in the other institutions we visited. His role most nearly approximates the role of a Classification Officer in a Canadian penitentiary. He interviews newcomers, acts as counsellor and prepares the summary for the Advisory Board.

#### REPORT ON INSTITUTIONAL VISIT

(ENGLAND)

INSTITUTION: BRISTOL,

#### (PREVENTIVE DETENTION DISCHARGE UNIT)

The following extract from the report of the Commissioners of Prisons, 1953, (pages 27-28) describes the interesting "Bristol Experiment":—

"Much thought has been given in the penal systems of the world to methods of devising a half-way house between the restricted custodial conditions of the conventional prison and the uncharted freedom of the outside world, and many experiments have been made. In this country the system of home leave for Star prisoners is one step in that direction. The quite different problems of the confirmed recidivist who has served a long sentence have hitherto not been tackled by the administration in this country, but on 30th November, 1953, a beginning was made in what is probably the most thorough-going experiment in "pre-release treatment which has hitherto been tried. Five men from Parkhurst prison, all of whom were serving sentences of at least 5 years' preventive detention, arrived in Bristol to take up residence in a hostel specially built in the grounds of Bristol prison. The hut contains a series of separate sleeping cubicles, a comfortably furnished common-room and a separate kitchen and bathroom. It is under the

supervision of an Assistant Governor who acts as Warden, and pays close attention to the needs and social welfare of the men.

With the co-operation of the Ministry of Labour, the Central After-Care Association and the Principal Probation Officer for Bristol, and after months of careful preparation, the five pioneers were at once placed in work in the city. Each man draws his own wages at his place of work. Out of them, he is charged a fixed sum for his board and lodging, he is allowed reasonable expenses for his travelling and meals and personal expenditure, and he is required to make provision for dependents previously receiving National Assistance. The remainder of his wages is retained as compulsory savings against his final discharge.

The preventive detention men do not come in contact with the other prisoners in Bristol prison at any time. They go out to football matches, church or cinema, they are free to accept hospitality of their new friends in the city, attend evening classes, buy clothing, and generally to "train themselves for freedom through freedom". Broadly, they live and work as free men. The only sanction against misbehaviour is return to Parkhurst.

The Prison Commissioners regard this experiment with both hope and pride. It is in its early stages and is confined to men who are selected after having been at least three months in the third stage of their sentences of preventive detention. The men's reaction has been magnificent; they have been conscious that, notwith-standing their criminal records, they have been given an opportunity to make history, and are alive to the standard it is in their power to create. The first discharge left in February with an excellent testimonial from his employer, with 28 pounds saved up and a great parcel of sheets and towels he had bought for his wife. The Commissioners would add that the good results so far obtained would not have been possible without the preparation at Parkhurst prison and the generous co-operation of many citizens of Bristol, some of whom have opened their homes to the men — hospitality of immense value especially over the Christmas period — and to the discreet reticence of the press. Any publicity which might lead to the identification of these men would gravely prejudice the scheme. Once the hostel has been firmly established and a tradition created, the Commissioners hope to extend the experiment to include other recidivist prisoners."

#### APPENDIX K

#### CLASSIFICATION POLICY (C.L. 17/56)

- 1. Every inmate shall be interviewed by a member of the Classification Staff upon the day of admission to the penitentiary or as soon as possible thereafter.
- 2. It is desirable that the intelligence quotient of inmates be a matter of classification record. Where there is no record of this kind, and the Classification Officer considers it necessary, the inmate shall be tested or re-tested by a qualified person for intelligence quotient, using the Revised Beta or other suitable test.
- 3. An initial Interview Report (or a Re-admission Report) shall be prepared by the classification staff. Such reports shall be available at the first meeting of the Classification Board (or Treatment Team) at which the immate's case is considered. Such report shall incorporate observations as to immate-attitude, interests, aptitudes and capacity. Copies of the report shall be transmitted to Head Office. Decisions of the Classification Board shall be also forwarded, either in the body of the Initial Interview Report or in the next regular or special report prepared upon the immate's case.
- 4. A Re-Classification (or Follow-Up) Report shall be prepared by the classification staff upon every inmate at the following intervals, approximately:
  - (a) Five to six months after admission;
  - (b) Every two years thereafter, during incarceration.

Every such report should be based on an interview and shall bring the case up-to-date by indicating present attitude, interests, accomplishments, plans, and shall incorporate modifications of the treatment plan. Such reports shall be transmitted to Head Office.

- 5. A Pre-Release Report and, where necessary, a Release Report (and/or a Post-Release Report) shall be prepared by the classification staff concerning every inmate discharged from the penitentiary, whether by transfer, Ticket of Leave or expiry. It shall summarize briefly the effect of incarceration to date, the inmate's plans or problems. It should include reference to after-care agencies which the inmate presumably intends to contact, or a statement to the effect that the inmate has refused to accept referral to a job-placement or welfare organization. Such reports shall be transmitted to Head office, and may also be made available to such agencies as the Commissioner of Penitentiaries may approve from time to time.
- 6. Special Reports shall be prepared by the classification staff when requested by Head Office, the Warden, Deputy Warden, the Classification Board, Physician, Psychiatrist, or the Director of Remission Service, and, at the discretion of the classification staff, when a special or unusual situation warrants such a report. Contents of such reports shall depend on the terms of reference in the request, or upon the discretion of the classification staff.
- 7. Case-History-Taking marks the beginning of treatment. It is therefore desirable that there be a complete Case-History for every penitentiary inmate. Priority should be given to:
- (i) Short-term first offenders;
- (ii) Short-term inmates serving first penitentiary sentence;
- (iii) Inmates upon whom histories have been specially requested;
- (iv) Habitual Criminals and Criminal Sexual Psychopaths;
- (v) Long-term inmates;
- (vi) Other

A case-history shall deal as exhaustively as circumstances permit with the background and personality of the inmate concerned, endeavouring to reveal why the inmate possesses certain characteristics, how he acquired them, and how he may be expected to react to the conditions of prison life. It shall incorporate such pertinent data as may be acquired by investigation, observation, appropriate tests, and contacts with outside sources.

- 8. The classification staff shall interview inmates for appropriate reasons when requested to do so by the Warden or Deputy Warden, and shall report either verbally or by memo as directed.
- 9. The classification staff shall effect necessary liaison with after-care and welfare agencies, including representatives of government department concerned with employment and release.
- 10. It is desirable that classification staff-members participate in the interpretation of the program to visitors. It is desirable that requests for classification staff-members to act as escort be limited to those visitors who have a professional interest in the program.
- 11. The interest of classification staff-members in activities designed to improve the outlook, morale and rehabilitation of inmates shall not include engaging in the management of such activities to the detriment of the primary responsibilities outlined in this statement of policy.
- 12. Pursuant to Penitentiary Regulation 11 (Officers' Handbook 1952) the Warden may permit Classification Officers to communicate with persons outside the penitentiary on behalf of inmates concerning matters essential to their rehabilitative treatment.

- 13. The classification staff shall have a two-fold function, comprising:
  - (a) diagnostic study, as described in the foregoing paragraphs, and without which there can be no organized or effective treatment program.
  - (b) treatment, as an integral part of the total institutional rehabilitative program.
- 14. The total institutional rehabilitative treatment program includes: classification and grouping of inmates according to their needs and considering available physical facilities, work, recreation, religion, education, medical attention, vocational training, counselling, psychological and psychiatric therapy and other socializing activities.
- 15. The initial contribution of the classification staff to the treatment program is the data acquired in its diagnostic study, in order to enable the Classification Board or Treatment Team to arrive at appropriate decisions in the planning of treatment for inmates individually and collectively.
- 16. The extent of actual participation in its treatment function shall be determined by the following factors:
  - (a) observed need in individual cases.
  - (b) qualifications of classification staff-members.
  - (c) availability of other specialist services, e.g. psychiatric.
  - (d) case-load, in terms of population and staff.
- 17. Having due regard for the foregoing factors it shall be permissible for classification staff-members to attempt therapy with individual inmates or groups of inmates in the fields of social case-work, educational and vocational counselling.
- 18. The Classification Officer shall refer to psychiatric services those inmates who, in his judgment, require such professional attendance. Where, in the opinion of the psychiatrist, a competent member of the classification staff can under direction usefully perform psychotherapy in specific cases, such work may be undertaken. Where psychiatric services are not available, authority will be required from Head Office before individual psychotherapy is attempted in each case.
- 19. A written report of classification activity shall be submitted at least once in each quarter. Such reports shall incorporate statistical information and descriptive paragraphs to reflect significant problems and developments. Originators of periodic reports should regard such reports as intended for record purposes; separate communications should be used to initiate specific action.
- 20. Thorough appraisal of officer applicants is essential to ensure selection of persons having the capacity to exert reformative influence upon inmates. Classification staffmembers may participate as consultants to the extent that the basic functions of the classification staff shall not be adversely affected.
- 21. It is recognized that well-trained staff is a basic requirement to effective treatment and orderly administration. It is desirable that classification staff-members participate in the training program as advisors and as lecturers to the extent that the basic function of the classification staff shall not be adversely affected.
- 22. Where the services of a fully qualified Classification Officer exist, further diagnostic tests may be administered and interpreted on his initiative or at the request of the Psychiatrist.

#### APPENDIX L

#### JUSTIFICATION FOR PAROLE \*

The granting of parole may limit the effects of imprisonment, which are deleterious to the offenders and ultimately to his family as well, by providing an acceptable means of shortening the period of incarceration.

There is general agreement today that no country should intentionally expose its prisoners to penalties which would seriously threaten their physical and mental health. Opinion, however, still differs on the question whether imprisonment, being a penalty, should serve as such only by the deprivation of liberty, or whether it should entail a disagreeable manner of execution, in order to emphasize its penal character. It is nevertheless agreed that it is desirable that no discharged prisoner should leave the penal institution damaged by his experiences there; but, on the contrary, that the institution should have contributed to the reformation of the prisoner during his stay.

Even when organized in accordance with such views, a prison community inevitably breeds unfavourable conditions which have a harmful influence on a number of prisoners.

The conclusion is that society is obliged at least to minimize the impact of the process of deterioration produced by imprisonment.

The consequences of the prolonged imprisonment of husband, father and/or chief supporter of a family constitute an equally urgent problem. Such imprisonment may frustrate any possibility for the social and moral reintegration of a family facing the danger of permanent breakdown. Since many imponderable factors are involved in this process, even completely adequate material support given to dependents can only partially alleviate the situation.

Parole offers an opportunity for the practical application of rehabilitation programmes prior to the expiration of sentence. Any prisoner is, to a greater or lesser degree, moulded by rules and standards which are enforced either by the management of the penitentiary or by the prisoners themselves, but which prevail in an unnatural community, bearing only a superficial resemblance to free society. Modern penitentiary systems usually aim at confronting prisoners with norms that obtain in the world outside the prison walls, norms which some of the inmates had not known before or, at least, had not observed. Such efforts often have only a correspondingly superficial impact.

Upon return to society, the individual finds himself in a position to decide on his course, facing a much wider variety of modes of behaviour than the institution could offer him. Parole supervision, including the conditions of release which have been established, but especially the social case work involved in parole, assist the individual in making a realistic application in daily life of the behaviour standards advocated in the institution. Return to the institution may follow failure to observe these standards.

The prospect of parole encourages the prisoner to maintain maximum contact with the world outside the institution. Communication with the outside world, although limited, means much more to the prison inmate than is commonly assumed. In a modern penitentiary system a variety of means of communication is employed to maintain the necessary contact. Most important to the prisoner are those which give an opportunity to maintain contact with relatives and closest friends, notably visits and correspondence. Next in importance are the meetings with other persons who come to see the prisoner, as, for example, members of a prisoner's aid society or an after-care agency, scientific investigators staying temporarily in the institution on a research job, etc.

Also important, though to a lesser degree than personal contacts, are those means of communication which keep the prisoner informed of events in the outer world, i.e. newspapers, magazines and radio. To this should be added the various means of entertainment, such as films, music, stage performances and lectures.

To the prisoner all those modes of contact have a certain emotional value, since they keep him keenly aware of the existence of a free society of which he continues to be a member in spite of his incarceration.

It is understandable that the preclusion of the possibility of being paroled reduces considerably the significance to the prisoner of the contacts and communications

mentioned above. In such cases, although they are useful, because they render the prisoner's isolation a little less unbearable to him, the prisoner knows that, by simply serving his time, he automatically will be discharged on a specified date, irrespective of the way in which he responds to the institutional programme. Thus contacts with the world outside the prison gain greater importance when the prisoner associates them with the possibility of being granted parole.

The prospect of parole stimulates the prisoner to derive maximum benefit from the facilities provided by the prison as preparation for parole. The individual prisoner may have available to him a variety of educational, vocational, religious, recreational or other services to which he will respond with apathy or enthusiasm, depending upon his general outlook. The individual who has the prospect of parole before him is more inclined to apply himself, consciously or unconsciously, to the utilization of such services.

Parole offers assistance to the individual upon release from prison. Through the supervising agent, the parolee may receive both material and psychological assistance. It is not unlikely that the assistance received, especially the advice and support given by the parole officer, may be a key factor in the successful post-institutional adjustment of many individuals.

The possibility of parole revocation acts as a deterrent. The merits of this conception of parole are presently in dispute. Although it is possible, even probable, that coercion may contribute to making parole a success, since it is unlikely that any man could be wholly indifferent to the risk of being sent back to prison, it is questionable whether the existence and application of coercive measures are in keeping with accepted principles of parole practice. It is agreed, however, that parole and after-care, as understood today, do not primarily derive their importance from the threat of possible parole revocation.

The possibility of parole may be an incentive to good conduct in the penitentiary. Undoubtedly the possibility of being paroled induces many prisoners to conform to prison regulations. It is known, however, that good conduct in prison often results from a superficial, sometimes simulated adjustment to prison rules, and is consequently of relatively little value to the person after release. Indeed, there is reason to believe that a less smooth adjustment may give evidence of the existence of a well-integrated personality. In any case, it is agreed that good conduct should not be the decisive factor for granting parole.

Parole provides a means whereby the prison term may be shortened. On the basis of observations made on the prisoner in the institution as well as other factors having to do with his social adjustment, it may be concluded that no purpose is served, either to the individual or to society, by his continued incarceration. While unconditional release would be unwarranted from the standpoint of social protection, the granting of parole, allowing for immediate return to prison if necessary, as well as supervision and assistance, would meet both the humanitarian and the penological objectives in shortening the original sentence.

Parole allows the timing of release to be related to the completion of vocational and other training programmes. Frequently, prison officials encounter the difficult problem of preventing the deterioration of prisoners who, having undergone complete vocational training in the institution, are not given the opportunity to use their newly gained abilities for long periods of time thereafter because of continued incarceration. Flexible release procedures associated with long-range parole planning enable the authorities to release the individual at the optimal time as concerns the skills he has acquired and his readiness to readjust to society.

Parole offers an opportunity for the prison administration to evaluate the influences of the penal system. Before the introduction of conditional release and parole there was very little possibility of carrying out large-scale investigations into the effect

of detention upon the prisoner. Parole, with its after-care contacts, has made extensive and thorough explorations in this field feasible, because the parole agencies are designed to maintain close contact with the parolee and to watch his career for a period of time. Clearly, this situation offers an opportunity to gain an understanding of the extent to which the penal institution contributes to socially satisfactory behaviour in freedom, and, on the other hand, to determine whether inappropriate or insufficient prison treatment should be held responsible for post-institutional failures.

Parole is socially just in that it enables society to play an auxiliary role in the readjustment of the individual who became a criminal partly through short-comings in society itself. Certain existing social conditions, it is agreed, are determining factors of crime. Accordingly, the support that society may give to a discharged offender implies, in a certain sense, a concept of mutual responsibility.

Parole may serve as a means for mitigating excessively severe punishments inflicted under the influence of aroused public emotions. The extent to which popular indignation will influence the course and outcome of a trial is closely connected with the organization of criminal jurisdiction in the country concerned and it is therefore quite variable. Although most legislation provides for special procedures, such as pardon, to rectify a gross injustice, such provisions are not generally applied in cases where it is exclusively a question of unduly severe sentences. On the other hand, it is generally agreed that this is an improper use of parole, and that parole should be used exclusively as a social transitional measure and not to correct injustices, for which other measures should be applied.

Parole offers a means of protection to society from further criminal activity on the part of released offenders. Parole supervision and the power to return the individual to prison provide safeguards to the public during the critical period following the release from imprisonment. The safeguards, on the other hand, operate as potent influences on the individual during the readjustment period. It is generally held that the fact that parole implies a certain risk for society should not be considered as a valid reason against it, in as much as there are ethical grounds for taking a certain degree of risk if it is assumed that society itself has a partial responsibility for the causation of crime.

Parole offers the opportunity to re-evaluate the role of institutional treatment and the relative merits of alternatives. Parole, along with the companion service of probation, has demonstrated the efficacy of non-institutional treatment of offenders. These measures have led to a shift in emphasis, including increased scepticism regarding the merits of imprisonment and greater reliance on non-punitive techniques.

\*United Nations, Department of Social Affairs, New York—1954. p.2 f.f.

#### APPENDIX M — TICKET OF LEAVE ACT -

#### CHAPTER 264.

An Act to provide for the Conditional Liberation of Convicts.

#### SHORT TITLE.

Short title.

1. This Act may be cited as the Ticket of Leave Act. R.S., c. 197, s. 1.

#### ADMINISTRATION.

Administration. 2. It is the duty of the Minister of Justice, or of such other member of the Government as may be designated by the Governor in Council, to advise the Governor General upon all matters connected with or affecting the administration of this Act. 1931, c. 13, s. 1.

#### TICKET OF LEAVE.

Granting of licence to convicts.

3. (1) The Governor General by an order in writing under the hand and seal of the Secretary of State may grant to any convict, under sentence of imprisonment in a penitentiary, gaol or other public or reformatory prison, a licence to be at large in Canada, or in such part thereof as is mentioned in such licence, during such portion of his term of imprisonment, and upon such conditions in all respects as to the Governor General may seem fit.

Revocation or alteration of same.

(2) The Governor General may from time to time revoke or alter such licence by a like order in writing. R.S., c. 197, s. 3.

Sentence deemed to continue although execution is suspended. 4. The conviction and sentence of any convict to whom a licence is granted under this Act shall be deemed to continue in force while such licence remains unforfeited and unrevoked, although execution thereof is suspended; but, so long as such licence continues in force and unrevoked or unforfeited, such convict is not liable to be imprisoned by reason of his sentence, but shall be allowed to go and remain at large according to the terms of such licence. R.S., c. 197, s. 4.

Form of licence.

5. (1) A licence under this Act may be in the Form A in the Schedule, or to the like effect, or may, if the Governor General thinks proper, be in any other form different from that given in the Schedule that he may think it expedient to adopt, and contain other and different conditions.

Deposit of conditions before Parliament.

(2) A copy of any conditions annexed to any such licence, other than the conditions contained in Form A shall be laid before both Houses of Parliament within twenty-one days after the making thereof, if Parliament be then in session, or if not, then within fourteen days after the commencement of the next session of Parliament. R.S., c. 197, s. 5.

#### REVOCATION AND FORFEITURE.

Forfeiture of licence.

6. If any holder of a licence under this Act is convicted of any indictable offence his licence shall be forthwith forfeited. R.S., c. 197, s. 6.

7. When any holder of a licence under this Act is convicted Convicting of an offence punishable on summary conviction under this or any other Act, the justice or justices convicting the prisoner certificate shall forthwith forward by post a certificate in the Form B in Form to Secret of State, and thereupon the licence of the said holder may be revoked in manner of state. licence of the said holder may be revoked in manner aforesaid. R.S., c. 197, s. 7,

8. (1) If any such licence is revoked or forfeited, it is lawful Action upon for the Governor General by warrant under the hand and seal of the Secretary of State to signify to the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police at Ottawa that such licence has been revoked or forfeited, and to require the Commissioner to issue his warrant under his hand and seal for the apprehension of the convict, to whom such licence was granted, and the Commissioner shall issue his warrant accordingly.

(2) Such warrant shall and may be executed by the con- Execution of stable to whom the same is given for that purpose in any part of police comof Canada, and has the same force and effect in all parts of
missioner. Canada as if the same had been originally issued or subsequently endorsed by a justice or other lawful authority having jurisdiction in the place where the same is executed.

(3) Any holder of a licence apprehended under such Bringing warrant, shall be brought as soon as conveniently may be convict before a justice of the peace of the county in which the before warrant is executed and such justice shall thereupon make out his warrant under his hand and seal for the recommitment of such convict to the penitentiary, gaol or other public or reformatory prison from which he was released by virtue of the said licence, and such convict shall be so recommitted accordingly, and shall thereupon be remitted to his original sentence, and shall undergo the residue of such sentence that remained unexpired at the time his licence was granted; but if the place where such convict is apprehended is not within the province, territory or district to which such penitiary, gaol or other public or reformatory prison belongs, such convict shall be committed to the penitentiary, gaol, or other public or reformatory prison for the province, territory or district, within which he is so apprehended, and shall there undergo the residue of his sentence as aforesaid. R.S., c. 197, s. 8.

**9.** (1) When any such licence is forfeited by a convict Convict tion of an indictable offence or other conviction, or is revoked licence is in pursuance of a summary conviction or otherwise, the person forfeited whose licence is forfeited or revoked shall, after undergoing term of any other punishment to which he may be sentenced for any imprison-offence in consequence of which his licence is forfeited or revoked, ment for the further undergo a term of imprisonment equal to the portion sentence. further undergo a term of imprisonment equal to the portion sentence of the term to which he was originally sentenced and which unexpired. remained unexpired at the time his licence was granted.

(2) If the original sentence in respect of which the licence Confinement was granted was to a penitentiary, the convict shall for the unit a penitentiary purpose of serving the term equal to the residue of such original sentence be removed from the gaol or other place of confinement in which he is, if it is not a penitentiary, to a penitentiary by warrant under the hand and seal of any justice having jurisdiction at the place where he is confined.

Term of imprisonment. (3) If he is confined in a penitentiary, he shall undergo a term of imprisonment in that penitentiary equal to the residue of the original sentence.

In all respects same as original. (4) In every case such convict is liable to be dealt with in all respects as if such term of imprisonment had formed part of his original sentence. R.S., c. 197, s. 9.

#### REPORTING TO POLICE.

Notice by holder of licence to police authorities as to place of abode. 10. (1) Every holder of a licence who is at large in Canada shall notify the place of his residence to the chief officer of police, or the sheriff of the city, town, county or district in which he resides, and shall, whenever he changes such residence within the same city, town, county or district, notify such change to the said chief officer of police or sheriff, and, whenever he is about to leave a city, town, county or district, he shall notify such his intention to the chief officer of police or sheriff of that city, town, county or district, stating the place to which he is going, also, if required, and so far as is practicable, his address at that place, and whenever he arrives in any city, town, county or district he shall forthwith notify his place of residence to the chief officer of police or the sheriff of such last-mentioned city, town, county or district.

Report of male holder of licence to police authorities.

(2) Every male holder of such a licence shall, once in each month, report himself at such time as may be prescribed by the chief officer of police or sheriff of the city, town, county or district in which such holder may be, either to such chief officer or sheriff himself, or to such other person as he may direct, and such report may, according as such chief officer or sheriff directs, be required to be made personally or by letter.

Remittance of requirements.

(3) The Governor General may, by order under the hand of the Secretary of State, remit any of the requirements of this section either generally or in the case of any particular holder of a licence. R.S., c. 197, s. 10.

#### OFFENCES AND PENALTIES.

Failing to comply with section 10,

11. (1) If any person to whom section 10 applies fails to comply with any of the requirements thereof, he is in any such case guilty of an offence against this Act, unless he proves to the satisfaction of the court before which he is tried, either that, being on a journey he tarried no longer in the place in respect of which he is charged with failing to notify his place of residence than was reasonably necessary, or that, otherwise, he did his best to act in conformity with the law.

Penalty on summary conviction.

(2) On summary conviction of any such offence the offender is liable, in the discretion of the justice, either to forfeit his licence, or to imprisonment with or without hard labour for a term not exceeding one year. R.S., c. 197, s. 11.

Failing to produce licence.

12. Any holder of a licence who

(a) fails to produce the same whenever required so to do by any judge, police or other magistrate, or justice of the peace, before whom he may be brought charged with any offence, or by any peace officer in whose custody he may be, and fails to make any reasonable excuse for not producing the same; or

- (b) breaks any of the other conditions of his licence by On breaking an act which is not of itself punishable either upon indictions of licence. dictment or upon summary conviction; is guilty of an offence upon summary conviction of which Penalty. he is liable to imprisonment for three months with or without hard labour. R.S., c. 197, s. 12.
- 13. (1) Any peace officer may take into custody with Arrest of out warrant any convict who is the holder of such a licence vict without (a) whom he reasonably suspects of having committed a warrant.
- any offence; or

  (b) if it appears to such peace officer that such convict is getting his livelihood by dishonest means; any may take him before a justice to be dealt with according to law.
- (2) If it appears from the facts proved before the justice Forfeiture that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the convict of licence, so brought before him is getting his livelihood by dishonest means such convict shall be deemed guilty of an offence against this Act, and his licence shall be forfeited.
- (3) Any convict so brought before a justice of the peace Conviction may be convicted of getting his livelihood by dishonest means brought although he has been brought before the justice on some other before charge, or not in the manner provided for in this section. R.S., justice of c. 197, s. 13.

#### **SCHEDULE**

#### FORM A.

#### LICENCE.

OTTAWA, day of 19. His Excellency the Governor General is graciously pleased to grant to , who was convicted of at the for the on

the sentenced to imprisonment in the penitentiary, gaol or prison (as the case may be) for the term of and is now confined in the large from the day of his liberation under this order during the remaining portion of his term of imprisonment, unless the said shall before the expiration of the said term be convicted of an indictable offence within Canada, or shall be summarily convicted of an offence involving forfeiture, in which case such licence will be immediately forfeited by law, or unless it shall please His Excellency sooner to revoke or alter such licence.

This licence is given subject to the conditions endorsed upon the same upon the breach of any of which it will be liable to be revoked, whether such breach is followed by a conviction or not.

And His Excellency hereby orders that the said

be set at liberty within thirty days from

the date of this order. Given under my hand and seal

at the day of 19

Secretary of State.

#### CONDITIONS.

- 1. The holder shall preserve his licence and produce it when called upon to do so by a magistrate or a peace officer.
  - 2. He shall abstain from any violation of the law.
- 3. He shall not habitually associate with notoriously bad characters, such as reputed thieves and prostitutes.
- 4. He shall not lead an idle and dissolute life without visible means of obtaining an honest livelihood.

If his licence is forfeited or revoked in consequence of a conviction for any offence he will be liable to undergo a term of imprisonment equal to the portion of his term of years which remained unexpired when his licence was granted, viz: the term of years.

#### FORM B.

#### FORM OF CERTIFICATE OF CONVICTION.

I do hereby certify that A.B., the holder of a licence under the Ticket of Leave Act was on the day of in the year duly convicted by and before of and sentenced to J.P., Co.

R.S., c. 197, Sch.

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REMISSION SERVICE OTTAWA REFORT FROM CUSTODIAN IDENTIFYING DATA

## CUSTODIAN .....

	A		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		****	Rea	Z\$\$10)	FILE		
	***************************************					Cus	TODIA	n's File	***************************************	
e In	MATE NO		N			1821-1	·· •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	NFORMATION RE									
	mmediate dispatch of									
ner a	ista reintive to conside	ration for	r clemeno	y are t	eguest	ed in a se	parate	form. Pleas	se attach copy of com	mit
ent a	ocument.	<del></del>					-			
_E3	XPIRY								<del></del>	
1	(a) Date term will expl	re if all res	nas noimic	sed from	now or	1				
	(b) Date definite portio	n of Indete	erminate ac	atence 1	vill be e	ntiafled.			- 1	
	FORMATION RE							•		:
2	(a) Offence or offences	committed	<u>.</u>							-
	1									
	103.5									
	(b) Sentence:									
	(c) Date commencing fr				. (d)	Date you	ı receiv	ed inmete		
	(e) Whether case appear									
	(f) Name and address of trial judge or ma	of agiotrate	ļ							
	(g) Place and		'							
	date of trial									
	(h) Name of investigation									_
	(f) Did inmate have any	y accomplis	ces and it e	o, their	names;	numbers &	nd perti	culars;		
	1		٠,							
	(f) Previous convictions	s, if any; #	ature, whe	re and v	vhen. I	.P.B. mum	ber if k	nown:		
	1									
	-									
	(k) Outstanding warran	ts held:	(1) Charge	e pendir	18					_
	(2) Deportation Order	T			`		(a) Ori	ier i		
INI	FORMATION RE I	NMATE	; ; ;							
3	(a) Age				) Wher	p born				
	(c). If not Canadian, det			<u> </u>				·		
	(d) If naturalised, when			·						
	(e) Nationality of Pares		Father				·	Mother		
			Pather					Mother		
		- Circle				(A Palle	too I	·		
					<del></del>	113 Mark	AJII	· · · · · ·	<del> </del>	—
	of Wife	<u> </u>								
				Write			Langua	ge		_
	(k) Can invaste: Resc	1								
	(f) Veteran		·,	· · ·		Pensione	<u> </u>			1
						Pensione	<u>' </u> 			<u> </u>
	(f) Veteran					Pensione	<u>*I</u>			-
	(f) Veteran		] "			Pensione	<u>*I</u>			
	(f) Are they living or de (p) If living, name and a (h) Marital status  (j) Name and address of Wife	hidress	Father	Write			Langua	Mother		<u>-</u>

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REMISSION SERVICE OTTAWA

			(+b1+P1+b++d(baBa+aa+7a7aa7aa+aa+			
: In	MATE NO		NAME		H+++++++	
×	XPIRY		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
1	(e) Date term will	expire if all rem	lation carned from now	/ dea		
	(b) Date definite p	ortion of indeter	minate sentence will b	e matisfied		
М	EDICAL	,				
2	Medical Officer's R	isport on Physic	al and Mental condition	n of Inmete:		
	ł					
	If ill please state (s	) Whather furth	er confinement is likel	y to be fatel		-
	(b) Nature of illne	**				
	(c) If contagious		(d) Whether imprisor	ment will aggre	rvate condition	
C	(Date)			HPPH (Vertical Principles	(Medical Of	lcer's Signature)
3	YOUR REPORT		Excellent	Manu	good	Good
3	INMATE'S CO		Pair	Poor	<del></del> i	Very poor
	YOUR REPORT		. Excellent .		good	Good
	INMATE'S-IN		Pair	Poor		Very poor
			. 1			
		. Ct-t-				1 .
	If grading system is	n force state gra	7			
			Number of days act			
	If grading system is Rumination Harry	•	Number of days act			
	If grading system is	•	Number of days act			
Qī	If grading system is Rumination Harry	o Ny remission loet	Number of days act			ļ
<u>Q</u> t	If grading system is REMISSION HARNEY State reasons for an	o Ny remission lost	Number of days act	tainable to date	(c) Is be now le	deraing one?
	If grading system is REMISSION HARNEY State ressons for an	o Ny remission lost	Number of days act Number of days ob	tainable to date	· ·	draing one?
	If grading system is REMISSION HARNEY State ressons for an UALIFICATIONS (a) Education	b) What tra	Number of days act Number of days ob	tainable to date	· ·	ersing one?
4	If grading system is REMISSION HARNEY State ressons for an UALIFICATIONS (a) Education	by remission lost  (b) What tra	Number of days act Number of days ob  de, if any, has inneated	tainable to date	· ·	erning one?
4 \$U	If grading system is REMISSION HARNEY State ressons for an IALIFICATIONS (a) Education (d) If taking vocation	(b) What training, at	Number of days set Number of days ob  de, if any, has innete  ate date course will be	tainable to date	· ·	wring one?
4 5	If grading system is REMISSION HARNEY State reasons for an  JALIFICATIONS  (a) Education  (b) If taking vocable PERVISION OR  Give meme and ade agancy willing to behaviour of inca- DR USE WARDE	(b) What tra- ional training, si SPONSORS draws of any rea- take as interest ate if released.	Number of days set Number of days ob  de, if any, has innete  ate date course will be	tainable to date	· ·	derbing one?
<b>\$</b> U	If grading system is REMISSION BARNEY State ressons for an  JALIFICATIONS  (a) Education  (d) If taking vocation  Give name and ade againty willing to behaviour of ince- DR USE WARDE  Outstanding warran	(b) What tra ional training, at SPONSORS draws of sure take as interest ate if released.	Number of days act Number of days ob  de, if any, has ionsate  that date course will be  HID  possible citizen or in the future good	tainable to date	(c) Is be now le	derking one?
SU FC	If grading system is REMISSION HARMED State ressons for an State ressons for an UALIFICATIONS  (a) Education  (d) If taking vocati PERVISION OR Give meme and ade agancy willing to behaviour of inca- behaviour of inca- DE USE WARDE Outstanding warran  (2) Deportation ore (2) Deportation ore	(b) What tra- ional training, at SPONSORS drass of any rea take as interest ate if released. NS, PENITI	Number of days set Number of days ob  de, if any, has ionsete  the date course will be HIP  ponelible citizen or in the future good  ENTIARIES ONL harges pending	examable to date	(c) Is he now le	erning one?

OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

7	Give any other relevant information which you believe would be helpful in the consideration of the case:
	•
- [	
Į	·
İ	
.	
İ	
ı	
- 1	
ļ	·
- 1	
- 1	
	•
- }	
- 1	
ľ	Palement search Ann Chapteles Claudically City Town Town Co.
- 1	Relevant reports from Chapteins, Classification Officers, Treatment Supervisors, Vocational Training Officers, Instructor Psychologists, Psychiatrists or other officials may be attached.

8 Pieses state your opinion as to the effect of confinement, progress in the institution and prospects for rehabilitation generally:

### APPENDIX O

## VISITS TO INSTITUTIONS BY REMISSION SERVICE REPRESENTATIVES

#### 1953, 1954 & 1955

The tables below show the number of visits, interviews held, and days spent by Remission Service Representatives in the penitentiaries and other penal institutions. In addition to these visits, the Director and Assistant Directors have made special visits to some of the major institutions to discuss problems of clemency and parole with the Wardens and other senior officials.

It has been the practice of the Remission Service not to have a representative interview inmates in the Ontario Provincial institutions. In the cases of inmates serving sentences in Ontario Provincial institutions, special reports are obtained from the Chief Parole and Rehabilitation Officer of Ontario.

VISITS TO INSTITUTIONS

NOTELLEIN		1953			1954			1955	
NOTIONITE WITH	Visits	Interviews	Days	Visits	Interviews	Days	Visits	Interviews	Days
BRITISH COLUMBIA B. C. Pen'y Oakalla Pr. Farm Young Off Unit. Prince Geo. Gaol	11 40 26 1	109 ± 240 101 101	540 10 10 10 10 10	35 31 19 1	177 151 39	35 30 19 12 1	\$2400	181 121 6	30 217 217 20
ALBERTA Ft. Sask. Gaol Lethbridge Gaol Bowden Inst.	1.22.1	14 13 6	· ખજાન	ભભભ	24 4 68	3.1%	2000	33	X 22.5
SASKATCHEWAN Sask. Pen'y Pr. Albert Gaol. Regina Gaol.	222	213 20 14			174 32 59	80 77 73	N 69 69	261 20 81	1035 2 2
Manitoba Pen'y Brandon Gaol Pige. La Prairie (W) Headingly Gaol.		106	8 <del>1</del> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2112	, 125 7 59	3,1%	80.48	136 13 81	8 1 1 E

1	1		
	Days	8000111	Saga xarararar x x x = xxxxx
1955	Interviews	147	2000 1 1
	Visits	000	004000-00
	Days	∞~ ω	#5************************************
1954	Interviews	132	2222 2222 22222 22222 22222 22222 22222 2222
	Visits	000	∞ 20 4 M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M
	Days	0-0   XXX	025 m 22 2222
1953	Interviews	137 168 14 14 14 1	262 275 275 271 290 100 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Visits	20-1	wwawwaaamm
MOIDINGING	NOTIOILIENT	Nutario Kingston Peu'y. Collin's Bay Pen'y Prison for Women. Burwash I. Farm Guelph Reft y. Brampton T.C. Mimico Reft'y Mercer Reft y.	QUEBEC St. V. de Paul Pen'y Federal T. Centre Montreal Gaol. Montreal Gaol. Montreal Womens Pr. Quebec Gaol. Quebec Womens' Pr. Three Rivers Gaol. Chicoutimi Gaol. Riv. du Loup Gaol. Riv. du Loup Gaol. Riv. du Loup Gaol. Montragny Gaol. La Malbale Gaol. St. Hyacinthe Gaol. St. Hyacinthe Gaol. St. Joseph de Benuce. St. Jerome Gaol. St. Joseph de Benuce. St. Joseph Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. St. Annan Gaol. St. Annan Gaol. St. Annan Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. New Carlisle Gaol. St. Annan Gaol.

#### APPENDIX P

#### SURVEY OF AFTER-CARE AGENCIES FACILITIES AND REQUIREMENTS

1955

(Information submitted to the Fauteux Committee by the Agencies)

#### BRITISH COLUMBIA

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF B.C.

Area of Service:

The Province of British Columbia, except Vancouver Island.

Giscome

Headquarters:

Vancouver.

Committees:

New Westminster

Kamloops Vernon Kelowna Penticton

Volunteer Groups or Associates:

Prince George Fernie Prince Rupert Cranbrook Fort St. John McBride Port Moody Coquitlam Ladner Hazelton Ashcroft Merritt Princeton Lillooet Mission City Williams Lake Salmon Arm Fraser Valley Summerland Revelstoke Grand Forks Kitimat Powell River Trail Ocean Falls Nelson

Staff:

1 Executive-Director

4 other trained social workers

1 office secretary 1 stenographer assistant.

Expansion plans: 2 additional social workers

Mode of Financing:

Vancouver Community Chest New Westminster Community Chest

Provincial Government Department of Justice

TOTAL BUDGET \$25,477.00 Additional financial requirements: \$ 4,600.00

Ticket of Leave Referrals:

During 1953 — 16

700.00

Remission grant: During 1954 — 39

\$ 1,870.00 Remission grant:

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF VANCOUVER ISLAND

Area of Service:

Vancouver Island.

Headquarters:

Victoria.

1 volunteer worker in:

Duncan Ladysmith Nanaimo

Port Alberni Courtenay Campbell River

Parksville

Staff:

1 full-time caseworker (who is also Executive-Secretary).

7 volunteer workers. Expansion Plans:

1 additional caseworker 1 full-time stenographer

Mode of Financing: Community Chest

Private donations Provincial Government Federal Government

TOTAL BUDGET -

\$ 5,351.00

Additional financial requirements:

Not known.

Ticket of Leave Referrals:

During 1953 — 9 Remission Grant:

320.00

During 1954 — 5 Remission Grant

330.00

### ALBERTA

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF ALBERTA

Area of Service:

The Province of Alberta, except the North East of Edmonton and the North of Peace River; the Province is divided into

District councils and District committees.

Councils:

Edmonton

Calgary

Lethbridge (with full-time staff going up to 150 miles from office in the case of Ticket of Leave men).

Committees:

Vegreville Drumheller

Medicine Hat Grand Prairie

Banff

Edson

Fort MacLeod

Wetaskiwin

Pincher Creek

Red Deer

Staff:

4 professionally trained caseworkers

1 caseworker 2 stenographers

1 bookkeeper

Expansion plans: 2 additional caseworkers 1 additional stenographer Mode of Financing: Federal Government

Provincial Government

Municipalities
Community Chests
Donations & Memberships
TOTAL BUDGET — \$32,075.00
Additional financial requirements:

\$40,000.00

Ticket of Leave Referrals:

During 1953 -- 64

Remission grant. \$ 2,180.00 During 1954 — 68

Remission grant: \$ 3,390.00

SASKATCHEWAN

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF SASKATCHEWAN

Area of Service: Three branches covering the three cities of Prince Albert, Sas-

katoon and Moose Jaw and their close vicinity.

Headquarters: Saskatoon

Staff: 1 part-time secretary (Prince Albert) work is done by President,

who is head of National Employment Service.

1 part-time caseworker (Saskatoon) (who is also Secretary).

A local volunteer committee (Moose Jaw Branch).

Expansion plans:

1 additional full-time trained social worker for Prince Albert.

Mode of Financing: Federal Government

(Saskatoon) from Saskatoon

Community Chest

(Saskatoon) from private donations.

Total Budget — \$ 2,670.00

Additional financing requirements:

\$ 6,000.00

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 - 0

Remission grant.

During 1954 — 4

Remission grant: \$ 220.00

MANITOBA

MANITOBA WELFARE ASSOCIATION

Area of Service: The Province of Manitoba with representatives at Winnipeg,

Brandon, Dauphin and Portage la Prairie.

Staff: 4 workers

Expansion plans: Not known.

Mode of Financing: Provincial Government

Federal Government City of Winnipeg Private donations

TOTAL BUDGET - \$15,943.00

#### Additional financing requirements: Not known.

#### Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 - 26

\$ 1,070.00

Remission grant: During 1954 — 33

Remission grant:

\$ 1,890.00

### ONTARIO

#### John Howard Society of Ontario

Area of Service

& Staff:

Headquarters: Toronto

- 1 Executive Director
- 1 Provincial casework supervisor
- 1 business secretary 1 financial representative

#### Toronto (City):

- 2 caseworkers
- 2 stenographers

#### Ottawa:

- 1 caseworker
- 1 half-time stenographer

#### Kingston:

- 1 caseworker
- 1 full-time stenographer

#### Hamilton:

- 1 caseworker
- 1 half-time stenographer

#### London:

- 1 caseworker
- 1 half-time stenographer

Boards of business and professional men and women in:

Windsor

Kitchener St. Catharines

Sarnia Individuals acting as associates in:

Brantford

Cobourg

Sudbury Fort Francis

Peterborough Brockville

Oshawa

Expansion plans: (Central office)

- 1 additional caseworker
- 1 institutional visitor (provincial institutions)
- 2 additional stenographers.

#### Kingston:

- 1 additional caseworker
- 1 additional stenographer

Mode of Financing: Penitentiaries Commission

Remission Service Provincial Government Municipalities Private donations

TOTAL BUDGET \$58,868.00 Additional financing requirements: \$25,000.00

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 — 46

Remission grant: During 1954 — 31

\$ 1,570.00

Remission grant:

\$ 3,110.00

#### QUEBEC

#### MONTREAL ISLAND

LA SOCIÉTÉ D'ORIENTATION ET DE RÉHABILITATION SOCIALB

Area of Service: Headquarters:

Greater Montreal

Montreal

Staff:

12 professionally trained workers

1 psychologist 4 social assistants 3 accountants 7 clerical employees Expansion plans: Not known.

Mode of Financing: Montreal Community Chest

Penitentiaries Commission

Remission Service

\$127,080.00 TOTAL BUDGET Additional financing requirements:

\$ 40,000.00

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 — 38

Remission Grant:

1,330.00

During 1954 --- 91

Remission grant:

4,200.00

#### CATHOLIC REHABILITATION SERVICE

Area of Service:

Montreal Island

Headquarters:

Montreal

Staff:

3 professionally trained workers

1 caseworker 1 stenographer Expansion plans: 1 additional caseworker

Mode of Financing: Penitentiaries Commission

Remission Service

Federation of Catholic Charities TOTAL BUDGET \$18,006.84 Additional financing requirements: Federation will take care of it.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 — 4 Remission grant: During 1954 — 3 Remission grant:

\$ 120.00

\$ 330.00

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF QUEBEC

Area of Service:

Montrea!

Headquarters:

Montreal

Staff:

1 Executive-Director

3 professionally trained workers

1 office secretary

1 full-time stenographer Expansion plans: 1 additional caseworker.

Mode of Financing: Welfare Federation of Montreal

Private Donations

Federal Government

\$32,702.34 TOTAL BUDGET Additional financial requirements: \$7,800.00 for additional caseworker.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 — 9
Remission grant:
During 1954 — 10
Remission grant.

\$ 320.00

\$ 570.00

QUEBEC CITY

SERVICE DE RÉADAPTATION SOCIALE, INC.

Area of Service:

Quebec City and radius of 30 miles

Headquarters:

Quebec City

Staff:

6 professionally trained social workers

2 psychologists

3 part-time psychiatrists 1 part-time physician 2 psychiatric nurses 2 stenographers Expansion plans: 2 additional caseworkers.

Mode of Financing: Laval University

Provincial Government Quebec Community Chest Department of Justice

TOTAL BUDGET \$43,340.00 Additional financial requirements: \$10,000.00

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 - 13

Remission grant: During 1954 — 14

440.00

Remission grant:

790.00

LE CENTRE DE SERVICE SOCIAL DE TROIS RIVIÈRES:

Area of Service: The Diocese of Three-Rivers with branches in Three Rivers,

Shawinigan Falls and La Tuque.

This agency has an after-care section and as such is a recognized

prisoners' aid society.

Three Rivers. Headquarters:

Staff: Not known.

Expansion plans: No information.

Mode of Financing: Not known.

Additional financial requirements:

No information.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1954 — 2

Remission grant: \$ 110.00

Société de Réhabilitation de Sherbrooke

Area of Service: The Diocese of Sherbrooke; this agency has a specialized after-care

section and as such is a recognized prisoners' aid society.

Headquarters: Sherbrooke.

Staff: Not known.

Expansion plans: No information

Mode of Financing: Not known.

Additional financial requirements:

No information.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1954 - 1

Remission grant:

\$ 50.00

SERVICE SOCIAL DE HULL

Area of Service: The counties of Hull and Gatineau branches in Hull, Buckingham,

Aylmer and Maniwaki; this agency has a specialized section and as such is a recognized prisoners' aid society.

Headquarters:

Staff: 4 professionally trained social workers.

Expansion plans: Not known.

Mode of Financing: Not known.

Additional financial requirements:

No information.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1954 — 7

Remission grant: \$ 350.00

N.B. There are also social agencies which are depended upon and covering the Dioceses

of:

Chicoutimi Ste-Anne-de-la-Pocatière

Gaspe St-Hyacinthe Joliette St-Jean Montmagny St-Jérôme Rivière-du-Loup Valleyfield

All of them have on their staff professionally trained social workers. In 1953 they accepted supervision in 4 cases and in 1954 in 12 cases. As they are not recognized after-care agencies, they do not participate in the Remission grants and were not invited to present briefs to the Committee.

#### **NEW BRUNSWICK**

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF NEW BRUNSWICK

No brief was received from this agency. However, the Directory Area of Service:

of Correctional Services in Canada says that it serves men

released from Dorchester Penitentiary.

Headquarters: Shediac.

Staff: No information.

Expansion plans: Not known.

Mode of Financing: Federal Government

\$640.00 TOTAL BUDGET Additional financial requirements:

Not known.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 - Not known

\$ 200.00

Remission grant: During 1954 — 3

\$ 140.00 Remission grant:

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF SAINT JOHN

Area of Service: No brief was received from this agency. However, the Directory

of Correctional Services in Canada says that it serves men released from Federal institutions in Saint John City and County.

Headquarters: Saint John.

No information. Staff:

Expansion plans: Not known.

Mode of Financing: Federal Government

Provincial Government

TOTAL BUDGET \$3,650.00

Additional financial requirements:

Not known.

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 — 0 Remission grant: During 1954 - 1

Remission grant: \$ 50.00

NOVA SCOTIA

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF NOVA SCOTIA

Area of Service: The Province of Nova Scotia.

Halifax. Headquarters:

Staff: Halifax:

1 full-time professionally trained worker 1 clerical employee local volunteer associates Sydney:

1 half-time paid worker and associates

1 part-time clerical employee

2 voluntary professional social workers

King's County:

A local volunteer committee under direction of Psychologist.

Expansion plans:

2 professionally trained caseworkers.

Mode of Financing: Federal Government Provincial Government

Municipal Government

Private donations

TOTAL BUDGET \$9,950.00 Additional financial requirements: \$10,000.00 to \$12,000.00

Ticket of Leave referrals:

During 1953 --- 14

\$ 670.00

Remission grant: During 1954 — 12

Remission grant:

\$ 710.00

#### PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

No information.

#### NEWFOUNALND

JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY OF NEWFOUNDLAND

Area of Service:

St. John's area.

Headquarters:

St. John's.

Staff:

'1 full-time professionally trained social worker

1 stenographer

Expansion plans: Not known.

Mode of Financing: Provincial Government

Private donations

Penitentiaries Commission Remission Service.

TOTAL BUDGET

\$10,489.00

Additional financial requirements:

Not known,

Ticket of Leave referrals:

\$ 120.00

During 1953 — 5 Remission grant: During 1954 — 1 Remission grant:

\$ 80.00

SUMMARY OF AFTER-CARE FACILITIES 1955

									į			1
			ST	STAFF (*)				BUDGET	T of L Ref	Ref	GRANTS	NTS
	ij	T. C.	O.	0. C.	V. or A.	C. A.	A.		1053	1054	1043	1017
	1	F	<u>.</u>	۲		1	Į,		200	#C61	6661	#C&T
B. C. J.H.S. of B.C.	'n				32	2		\$ 25,477.00	16	36	\$ 700.00	\$1,870.00
J.H.S. of Vancouver Is.	1							5,351.00	٥	ıς	320.00	330.00
	۰				39	1		\$ 30,828.00	25	4	\$1,020.00	\$2,200.00
ALBERTA J.H.S. of Alberta	4		-		10	3		\$ 32,075,00	64	89	\$2,180.00	\$3,390.00
SASKATCHEWAN J.H.S. of Saskatchewan	~				<b></b>			\$ 2,670.00	0	4		\$ 220.00
Marrona Manitoba Social Welfare	4							\$ 13,943.00	26	33	\$1,070.00	\$1,890,00
Ditago	**				11	S	3	\$ 58,868.00	46	31	\$1,570.00	\$3,110.00
QUEBEC S.O.R.S. of Montreal	12		4			10		\$127,080.00	38	91	\$1,330.00	\$4,200.00
C.R.S. Montreal	m		1			-		\$ 18,006.84	4	3	\$ 120.00	\$ 330.00

SUMMARY OF AFTER-CARE AGENCIES FACILITIES

'n
5
0
Ξ

			SI	STAFF (*)				BUDGET	T. of	T. of L. Ref.	GRANTS	NTS
	T.	T. C.	<u> </u>	0. C.	V. or A.	C.	C. A.					
	<b>−</b> J	Ā	Ť	F		1	Д.		1953	1954	1953	1954
Brought Forward												
QUEBEC—(Cont'd) J.H.S. of Que. S.R.S. Quebec. Soc. S. Three Rivers.	54.2		2   2			122		\$145,086.84 \$ 32,702.34 \$ 43,340.00	2 o E	<b>2</b> 011,	\$1,450.00 \$ 320.00 \$ 440.00	\$4,530.00 \$ 570.00 \$ 790.00
oc. S. Sherbrooke oc. S. Hull. iocesan Agencies.	10 10					]		<b>I</b>   1	4	12	111	\$ 250.00
	41		4			15		\$221,129.18	89	140	\$2,210.00	\$6,400.00
New Brunswick J. H. S. of N.B J.H.S. St. John	1					1		\$ 640.00 \$ 3,650.00		£ 1	\$ 200.00	\$ 140.00 \$ 50.00
								\$ 4,290.00		4	\$ 200.00	\$ 190.00
Nova Scotia J.H.S. of N.S.	1	2			2			\$ 9,950.00	14	12	\$ 670.00	\$ 710.00
P. E. Island.		Ì				l	1	!				
Newfoundland J.H.S. of Nfld	1	[			l			\$ 10,489.00	, v	1	\$ 120.00	\$ 80.00
				_	7					_	_	

(\*) STAFF—(Not included in chart)

1 full-time Psychologist with S.O.R.S. Montreal.
2 full-time Psychologists with S.R.S., Quebec.
1 part-time Psychologist with J.H.S. of Nova Scotia.

F. P. T. of L. Ref..... KEY: T.C. Trained caseworkers
O.C. Other caseworkers
V. or A. Volunteer committees
or associates
C.A. Clerical assistance

Ticket of Leave Referrals full-time part-time

Ass't Director i/c Investigation and Case Preparation Western Ontario District Maritime District Case Preparation Section Eastern Quebec District SUGGESTED ORGANIZATION OF THE PAROLE SERVICE Manitoba Distríct Research Section Western Quebec District DIRECTOR Saskatchewan District Administration Section Eastern Ontario District Alberta District Ass't Director i/c Field Services and Supervision District Offices British Columbia District Central Ontario District APPENDIX Q 153

#### APPENDIX R

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

American Prison Association Committee on Classification and Case Work Handbook on Classification in Correctional Institutions The American Prison Association New York, 1947.

American Prison Association
The Committee on Classification and Case Work
Handbook on Pre-Release Preparation in Correctional Institutions
The American Prison Association
New York, 1950.

American Prison Association Committee on Personnel Standards and Training Suggested College Curricula as Preparation for Correctional Service American Prison Association New York, 1954.

American Prison Association
Committee to Revise the 1946 Manual of Suggested Standards for a State Correctional
System
A Manual of Correctional Standards
American Prison Association
New York, 1954.

American Prison Association
State and National Correctional Institutions of the U.S.A., Canada, England and Scotland.
The American Prison Association
New York, 1954.

Belgium Centre Penitentiaire Agricole de Marneffe G. Pichon, Bruxelles, N.D.

Belgium
M. J. Dupréel, Directeur

Général de l'Administration des Etablissements Pénitentiaires et de Défense Social Rapport Général sur le Fonctionnement de l'Organisme Pendant l'Exercice 1951. Suivi d'une Conférence Faite à l'Assemblée du 11 juin 1954 Office de Réadaptation Sociale de Bruxelles Nivelles, 1952.

Belgium
J. Dupréel, Directeur
Général de l'Administration des Etablissements Pénitentiaires
La Libération Conditionnelle des Condamnés
Extrait du: Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 5me année No. 7-8
Juillet-Août 1951.

Belgium
M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Etablissements Pénitentiaires et de Défense Sociale
L'Activité de l'Administration Pénitentiaire en 1951
Extrait du: Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 6me Année No 4, Avril 1952
M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Prisons, 1952.

M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Etablissements Pénitentiaires

L'Activité de l'Administration Pénitentiaire en 1952

Extrait du: Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 7e année, No. 3

Mars 1953

M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Etablissements Pénitentiaires, 1953.

Belgium

M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Etablissements Pénitentiaires et de Défense Sociale

Réfectoires pour Détenus

Extrait du: Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 6me année, No. 3

Mars 1952

M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Etablissements Pénitentiaires et de Défense Sociale, 1952.

#### Belgium

Le Nouveau Modèle de Cellule

J. Dupréel, Directeur Général des Etablissements Pénitentiaires

A-Présentation

B—Description

P. Genonceaux, Membre du Bureau d'Etude—Licencié en Sciences Criminalogiques Extrait du Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 7e année, No. 1 Janvier 1953

Ed Pecheur, Nivelles, 1953.

#### Belgium

M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Prisons L'Activité de l'Administration des Prisons 1945-1950 M. Van Helmont, Inspecteur Général des Prisons. N.D.

#### Belgium

Ministère de la Justice Bureau d'Etude de l'Administration des Prisons Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 5me année, No. 2 Février 1951 Ed Pecheur, Nivelles, 1951.

#### Belgium

Ministère de la Justice Bureau d'Etude de l'Administration des Prisons Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 1ère année, No. 7 Juillet, 1947 L. Rega, Louvain, 1947.

#### Belgium

Ministère de la Justice Bureau d'Etude de l'Administration des Prisons Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 4me année, No. 6 Juin 1950 Ed Pecheur, Nivelles, 1950.

Ministère de la Justice Bureau d'Etude de l'Administration des Prisons Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 3me année, No. 5 Mai 1949 G. Pichon, Bruxelles, 1949.

Belgium

Notice sur la Prison de Forest Bruxelles L. Rega, Louvain, N.D.

Organogrammes des Services Centraux et Extérieurs de l'Administration des Etablissements Pénitentiaires et de Défense Sociale

Extrait du Bulletin de l'Administration des Prisons, 8e année, No. 3

Mars 1954

E. Dantinne, Nivelles, 1954.

British Columbia Legislature An Act respecting Probation R.S.B.C. 1948 C 268 and Amendments King's Printer, Victoria, B.C.

British Columbia

Report of the Commission Appointed by the Attorney-General to Inquire into the State and Management of the Gaols of B.C. King's Printer, Victoria, 1950.

British Journal of Delinquency (Special Issue) Problems of Recidivism and Prediction, Vol. VI, No. 2 The Institute for the Study and Treatment of Delinquency London, September 1955.

California, Adult Authority, Department of Corrections Parole Officers Manual Department of Corrections, State of California Sacramento, Calif. 1947.

California, Department of Corrections California Adult Authority, Principles, Policies and Program State Department of Corrections Sacramento, Calif., 1952,

California, Department of Corrections Laws Relating to the Adult Authority based on the California Penal Code (Revised) Administrative Unit, California Adult Authority Sacramento, Calif., 1954 (Mimeograph)

California, Department of Corrections Manual of Procedures in Classification State Department of Corrections Sacramento, Calif., 1947 (Mimeograph)

California, Department of Corrections The Preparation and Use of the Cumulative Case Summary State Department of Corrections Sacramento, Calif., 1947 (Mimeograph).

Canada, Commissioner regarding The Penitentiary System of Canada (Gibson Report) King's Printer, Ottawa, 1947.

Canada, Department of Justice, Remission Service Proceedings of Conference on Care and After-care of offenders by Voluntary Prisoners' Welfare Agencies and National Government Services Kingston, Ontario, February 16-19, 1954 (Mimeograph).

Canada, Department of Justice, Remission Service
Proceedings of the Second Joint Conference of After-care Agencies and Federal
Government Services
Kingston, Ontario, February 22-25, 1955
(Mimeograph).

Canada, Dominion Bureau of Statistics, Health & Welfare Division, Judicial Section Statistics of Criminal and other offences 1952 Queen's Printer, Ottawa, 1952.

Canada, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Law and Order in Canadian Democracy King's Printer, Ottawa, 1949.

Canada, Royal Commission to investigate the Penal System of Canada (Archambault Report) King's Printer, Ottawa, 1938.

Canadian Bar Review (Special Issue) Penal Reform in Canada Vol. XXVII No. 9 The Canadian Bar Association, Ottawa, November 1949.

Canadian Welfare (Special Issue) Treatment of the Criminal in Canada. Vol. XXIX No. 3-4 The Canadian Welfare Council, Ottawa, September 15, 1953.

Campbell, Judge William J.
Developing Systematic Sentence procedures
Federal Probation, Vol. XVIII No. 3 (period)
Administrative office of the United States Courts
Washington, D.C., September, 1954.

Dominion of Canada Legislature An Act respecting penitentiaries R.S.C. 1952, C. 206 Queen's Printer, Ottawa.

Dominion of Canada Legislature An Act respecting Public and Reformatory Prisons R.S.C. 1952., C. 217 Queen's Printer, Ottawa.

Finsley, Fred. Who gets Parole? Federal Probation, Vol. XVII. No 3 (period) Administrative Office of the United States Courts Washington, D.C., September, 1953.

Fox, Sir Lionel Ray The English Prison and Borstal System Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, 1952.

Glueck, Sheldon Crime and Correction, Selected Papers Addison Wesley Press Inc., Cambridge, Mass. 1952.

Glueck, Sheldon and Eleanor T. After Conduct of Discharged offenders MacMillan and Company Ltd., London, 1946. Glueck, Sheldon and Eleanor Criminal Causes in Retrospect The Commonwealth Fund New York, 1943.

Glueck, Sheldon and Eleanor Delinquents in the Making, Paths to Prevention Harper & Brothers Publishers, New York, 1952.

Gordon, Walter A.

California's Intensive Parole Supervision Project, A Pilot Program Address to Annual Conference of Western Probation and Parole Association San Diego, California, August 28, 1953 (Mimeograph).

Hart, Reverend William E. What is a John Howard Society? Canadian Welfare Council, Ottawa, 1952 (Pamphlet).

Howard League for Penal Reform Corporal Punishment Facts and Figures Howard League for Penal Reform, London, 1953 (Pamphlet).

Lester, Erves, W.
Parole Treatment and Surveillance which should Dominate (Repent)
National Probation & Parole Association Year Book 1952
New York.

Melichercik, John Employment Problems of Former Offenders (Part of a thesis, Toronto School of Social Work 1954) Canadian Welfare Council, Ottawa, 1955 (Mimeograph).

Murphy, Edward M.
Standards of Supervision for the Paroled offender, State of New York
Frederick A. Moran Memorial Institute
St. Lawrence University, Canton, N.Y.
Reprinted from New York State Association of Magistrates Bulletin.

National Probation and Parole Association Standard Probation and Parole Act National Probation and Parole Association, New York, 1955.

New Brunswick Legislature An Act respecting Gaols R.S.N.B. 1952, C. 96 and amendments Queen's Printer, Frederickton, N.B.

New Brunswick Report of Commission on Gaol System of New Brunswick (Mimeographed). The Commissioner appointed under the Inquiries Act., Fredericton, 1951.

Newsam, Sir Frank
The Home Office
George Allen and Unwin Ltd., London, 1954, and Oxford University Press, New York, 1954.

Newfoundland Legislature An Act respecting Penitentiaries R.S.N. 1952, C. 132. Queen's printer, St. John's Newfoundland.

Nova Scotia
Report of the Royal Commission concerning gaols — Province of Nova Scotia
Minister of Public Works and Mines

King's Printer, 1933.

Ohlin, Lloyd E. Selection for Parole, A Manual of Parole Prediction Russell Sage Foundation, New York, 1955.

Ontario Department of Reform Institutions Annual Report 1955 Queen's Printer, Toronto.

Ontario, Department of Reform Institutions Reformatories, Industrial Farms, Training Schools (Pamphlet). N.D. (recent).

Ontario Legislature An Act respecting Parole R.S.O. 1950, C. 268 and amendments King's Printer, Toronto, Ontario.

Richardson, Scovel
Policies and Procedure of the United States Board of Parole
Federal Probation, Vol. XIX, No. 4. (Period)
Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Washington, D.C., December 1955.

Rubin, Sol. Adult Parole Systems of the United States National Probation and Parole Association, New York, 1949 (Mimeograph).

Rubin, Sol.
The Indeterminate Sentence — Success or Failure
Focus Vol. 28, No. 2 (Period)
National Probation and Parole Association, New York, March, 1949.

The Standard Probation and Parole Act Federal Probation, Vol. XIX, No. 4 (Period) Administrative office of the United States Courts Washington, D.C., December 1955.

Saskatchewan Legislature
An Act respecting the Correction and The Prevention of Delinquency, RSS 1943, C. 240
Queen's Printer, Regina, Sask.

Report of Commission to Investigate the Penal System of Saskatchewan, 1946 Minister of Public Works, King's Printer, Regina, 1946.

Smithers, William W. Treatise on Executive Clemency in Pensylvania International Printing Company Philadelphia, Pa. 1909. Sobeloff, Simon E.
Parole is Here to Stay
Federal Probation Vol. XVIII, No. 4 (Period)
Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Washington, D.C., December, 1954.

Tappen, Paul W. (Col.) Contemporary Correction McGraw-Hill Company Toronto, 1951.

United Kingdom Report from the Departmental Committee on Prisons (Gladstone Report) Her Majesty's Stationery Office London, 1895 (Photostat).

United Kingdom (England) The Borstal (No. 2) Rules, 1949 Borstal Institutions 1949, No. 1283 Statutory Instruments Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1949.

United Kingdom (England) The Probation Rules, 1949 Probation of Offenders, 1949, No. 1328 (L11) Statutory Instruments (reprint) Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1951.

United Kingdom (England) The Prison Rules, 1949 Prison England 1949, No. 1073 Statutory Instruments (reprint) Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1953.

United Kingdom, Home Office Annual Report of the Council of the Central After-Care Association, 1954. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1955.

United Kingdom, Home Office Annual Report of the Council of The Central After-Care Association, 1954. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1955.

United Kingdom (Home Office) Criminal Statistics England and Wales, 1954. (MO 9574) Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1955.

United Kingdom, Home Office Prisons and Borstals Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1950,

United Kingdom (Home Office)
Report of the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis for the year 1953
CMD. 9236
Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1954.

United Kingdom (Home Office) Report of the Commissioners of Prisons for the year 1954 CMD. 9457 Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1955. United Kingdom (Home Office) Report of the Committee on Discharged Prisoners Aid Societies Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1953.

United Kingdom, Home Office Report of the Departmental Committee on Corporal Punishment—CMD 5684 Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1955.

United Kingdom, Home Office The Probation Service, Its Objects and Organization (Revised Edition) His Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1946. (Pamphlet).

United Kingdom Legislature (England) The Criminal Justice Act 11 and 12 Geo. 6 (1948), Ch. 58 Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London (Reprint).

United Kingdom Legislature (England) The Prisons Act 15 and 16 Geo. 6 and 1 Eliz. 2, Ch. 52 Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London (Reprint).

United Kingdom (Scottish Home Department) Criminal Statistics, Scotland, 1954 CMD 9441 Her Majesty's Stationery Office, Edinburgh, 1955.

United Kingdom (Scottish Home Department) Report on Prisons in Scotland for the year 1954 CMD 9448

Her Majesty's Stationery Office, Edinburgh, 1955.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs European Seminar on Probation London 20-30 October 1952. ST/TAA/SER.C/H United Nations, New York, 1954.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs International Review of Criminal Police Vol. I, January, 1952. ST/SOA/SER.M/I United Nations, New York, 1951.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs International Review of Criminal Police, Vol. 2, July 1952. ST/SOA/SER.M/2 United Nations, New York, 1952.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs International Review of Criminal Policy, Vol. 3, January, 1953. ST/SOA/SER.M/3 United Nations, New York, 1953.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs International Review of Criminal Policy, Vol. 4, July, 1953. ST/SOA/SER.M/4 United Nations, New York, 1953.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs International Review of Criminal Policy, Vols. 7-8, January-July, 1955. ST/SOA/SER.M/7-8 United Nations, New York, 1955.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs Parole and After Care. ST/SOA/SD/4. United Nations, New York, 1954. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs Prison Labour. ST/SOA/SD/5 United Nations, New York, 1955.

United Nations, Department of Social Affairs The Indeterminate Sentence. ST/SOA/SD/2. United Nations, New York, 1954.

United States, Department of Justice Annual Report of the United States Board of Parole Department of Justice Washington, D.C., 1955.

United States
The Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures
Vol. I. Digest of Federal and State Laws on Release Procedures
United States Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C., 1939.

United States
The Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures
Vol. III. Pardon
United States Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C., 1939.

United States
The Attorney General's Survey of Release Procedures
Vol. IV. Parole
United States Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C., 1939.

Wilson, H. L. The Use of The Prerogative of Mercy in Canada (Thesis M.S.) The School of Social Work University of Toronto, October 18, 1954.

Wisconsin, Department of Public Welfare Conserving Human Resources in Wisconsin Biennial Report of the State Department of Public Welfare State Department of Public Welfare Madison, Wisconsin, 1954.